

## PRA Requests

Received From: 12/1/2018  
 Received To: 12/31/2018  
 Total: 203

Request ID	Requestor Last Name	Requestor First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Request Status	Requester Business Name	Response Summary
Requester	First Name	Requester	Received Date	Request Description	Requester	Requester	Response Summary
PRA-2018-02372	Llamas-Herrera	Ashley	12/1/2018	Child custody agreement for child named [REDACTED]. Father named Allen Smith. Mother named Ashley Llamas Herrera	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a family law court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.
PRA-2018-02373	Niacarins	Nycole	12/3/2018	I would like to obtain copies of the police report and court paperwork for my case against Jason Micheal Trevor. Doc# 18-CR-017646 10/31/2018. I have received little information and believe my mail is still being sent to [REDACTED], which was my home until I have to evade for my safety from Jason M Trevor. He is being charged with 273.5(a)(M) and I think he is holding my mail. I have done a change of address but haven't received anything related to this case. Please help.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place and the court in which the documents sought were filed.
PRA-2018-02374	Oehler	Staci	12/3/2018	I'm looking for records of any contact that Mr. Michael Conrad Nagy (currently a resident of Sacramento county) has had with law enforcement officers. Any time between 1990 and now. Thank you.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-02375	Wilcox	Jeffrey	12/3/2018	San Mateo Co. Clerk indicated that you (DOJ) may have a copy of the report. SMCO Case #: CF 109656 D TAUKINUKUFILI, LESIELI aka LESLIE J. 00-00-1985 1. BORNE: Leseli Tuitavake: [REDACTED] / Kingdom of Tonga, and immigrated with her family to the U.S. in 1968. 2. Leseli Tuitavake: Married Siosua I aukinukufili in Reno NV. [REDACTED] (15 Y.O.A.) / Falsified her marriage application by using a DOB of [REDACTED], which would make her (18 Y.O.A.) This is a Criminal Felony case from 1985. The defendant was arrested and charged with embezzlement from her (then employer) Bank of America Defendant (after prosecution) has returned to Tonga, and re-invented herself as Rachel Leslie Toki, and procured a	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.

					If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-02376	Ruano-Chico Eric	12/3/2018	I am looking to get my background record for everything to apply at a job. My license number is [REDACTED] Driving records, police records, etc...	Completed	Erin Nickless  We note that your request seeks police reports. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. We further note that your request seeks driving records. You appear to be seeking records that are in the custody of the Department of Motor Vehicles. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Information on requesting your driver records can be found at <a href="http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php">http://www.dmv.org/ca-california/driving-records.php</a> .  If you are seeking criminal records, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.  If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.
PRA-2018-02377	Rodgers (911 Call)	12/3/2018	911 call transcripts Date - July 20, 2014 Time - between 6pm - 7pm Area - Pacoima, California, 91331 Vicinity - Hubert Humphrey Park/Recreation Center Streets - Dionfield Ave, Filmore St., Bromont Ave, Pinney St. Related to shooting	Completed	Erin Nickless  Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)  If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-02378	Heo (Ins Code Sec 10134)	12/3/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (1/1/2018 through 11/30/2018) Comments: Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.	Completed	Howard Wayne  We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule a time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these documents, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.  You may contact me if you have any questions regarding the above.
PRA-2018-02380	Gallagher (Safe Harbor Provisions)	12/3/2018	A current list of those companies that take advantage of the "Safe Harbor Provisions" of CDTTA-770-Du (S2B) REV.9 (9-17) I am a retired "CHP" officer and work with many companies about safety & compliance issue. I also assist them with filing requirement of the "Safe Harbor Provisions". My current list is 5-6 year old is out of date, and I would appreciate a current list.	Completed	Erin Nickless  Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.  If the form referenced in your request is the one located at the following website: <a href="https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/forms/770du.pdf">https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/forms/770du.pdf</a> , you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at <a href="http://cdtfa.ca.gov/contact">http://cdtfa.ca.gov/contact</a> .

PRA-2018-02381	Liu (dealers and private sellers sales)	Tianwang	12/3/2018	<p>I am a Ph.D. students from economics department at Harvard University, working on firearms industry. As California requires both licensed dealers and private sellers to report all sales, is it possible to getting the following information, between 1980s and 2018, in excel format?</p> <p>For each transaction through licensed dealer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*date of transaction</li> <li>*gun dealer license number</li> <li>*gun shop name</li> <li>*gun dealer's city/town</li> <li>*buyer zipcode</li> <li>*anonymous buyer identifier ( can be just 1, 2, 3, 4 as long as it's the same number for the same person)</li> <li>*buyer demographics (age, gender, race)</li> <li>*firearm type</li> <li>*firearm make</li> <li>*firearm model</li> <li>*firearm serial number</li> </ul> <p>For private sales:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*Date of transaction</li> <li>*Private seller identifier (can be anything that I can track it's the same seller)</li> <li>*Private seller demographics (such as age, gender, race)</li> <li>*Private seller location (city/town, zipcode)</li> <li>*Anonymous buyer identifier (just want to see whether a person bought several firearms)</li> <li>*Buyer demographics (such as age, gender, race)</li> <li>*Buyer location (city/town, zipcode)</li> <li>*Product characteristics (type, make, model, serial number)</li> </ul>	Completed	Public Records	<p>We recommend that you contact our Research Center with your request, because it will probably be able to give you more data than you can get through a Public Records Acts request.</p> <p>The Department keeps the type data you seek as part of its general duty "to assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys ... , the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a)(1).)</p> <p>California law limits with whom the Department can share much of this data to "officers referred to in [Penal Code section] 11105." Members of the public seeking records under the Public Records Act are not included on the list of persons contained in Penal Code section 11105. As such, the information we could provide you under the Public Records Act is very limited. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k) [incorporating other disclosure prohibitions and exemptions into the Public Records Act].)</p> <p>In contrast, pursuant to Penal Code section 13202, every public agency or bona fide research body concerned with the prevention or control of crime, the quality of criminal justice or the custody of correction offenders may request Department data for research purposes. This is done by a request to our Research Center and would, if approved, result in you obtaining more information than what we can give you in response to a Public Records Act request. You can initiate a data request through this website: <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/research-center">https://oag.ca.gov/research-center</a>.</p> <p>If we do not receive a response from you indicating you would prefer to continue seeking this information via a Public Records Act request within 20 days, we will assume you are no longer seeking to obtain this information through our Public Records Act process.</p>
PRA-2018-02382	Klausner (Donnelly Estate Records)	Daniel	12/3/2018	<p>December 12, 2018 Follow up:</p> <p>Are you saying that you conducted a search of your records and have not found them? It is my understanding that a grant deed for this property was sent to the Department of Justice and was not thereafter recorded. I will pursue another solution if you can verify for me that you do not have this record as identified.</p> <p>Daniel Klausner</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>December 27 follow up response: Attached are the records responsive to your request.</p> <p>Some records that you may be seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, the attorney workproduct privilege. The attorney workproduct exemption absolutely exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It also is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>In addition, some records that you may be seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege is applied to the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions.</p> <p>Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will</p>

respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact the undersigned. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Chris Lamerdin spoke with Mr. Klausner independently after he followed up to the December 12 response and let him know we are pulling a file from storage.

December 12, 2018 response: Your request does not appear to identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California.

We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter, estate records, and/or a trust. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office. If you are seeking information related to a trust, you may wish to contact the financial institution or custodian that holds the trust. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.

To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, and/or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <https://www.cdpb.ca.gov/Programs/CHS1/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx>.

December 17, 2018 Response:  
Attached are the records that were attached to my letter dated December 10, 2018.

December 10, 2018 Response:  
We have identified responsive records. However, some of these records are exempt from disclosure. Specifically, some records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) In the present case, the attorneys in our office provide legal advice to the Attorney General and client agencies. Accordingly, communication between the Attorney General or client agencies and the department's attorneys concerning legal matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act. Additionally, some records are exempt due to the deliberative process privilege, which exempts from disclosure material that would expose an agency's decision making process in such way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability

PRA-2018-02983  
Garcia (vital records)  
Tristan  
12/3/20  
18  
I would like to access marriage and divorce records for John Gomez (born [REDACTED]) and Sharon Espinoza.  
Thank you!

PRA-2018-02983  
Joyner (DOJ)  
Leslie  
12/3/20  
18  
I would like to access marriage and divorce records for John Gomez (born [REDACTED]) and Sharon Espinoza.  
Thank you!

PRA-2018-02983  
Garcia (vital records)  
Tristan  
12/3/20  
18  
I would like to access marriage and divorce records for John Gomez (born [REDACTED]) and Sharon Espinoza.  
Thank you!

PRA-2018-02983  
Joyner (DOJ)  
Leslie  
12/3/20  
18  
I would like to access marriage and divorce records for John Gomez (born [REDACTED]) and Sharon Espinoza.  
Thank you!

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transcripts of any hearings conducted regarding California Proposition 11.</li> </ul>			
PRA-2018-02475	Joyer (SALC)	Leslie	12/3/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transcripts of any hearings conducted regarding California Proposition 11;</li> <li>Any and all versions of the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) that have been submitted;</li> <li>Any and all materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11);</li> <li>Any and all responses to materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11);</li> <li>Any and all informational and voting materials pertaining to the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11)</li> <li>Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee, regarding any amendment to delete the reference to ground transport from the definition of "emergency ambulance employee," and any response;</li> <li>Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee, regarding any other proposed change to the language in California Proposition 11, and any response;</li> <li>Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee, regarding the Petition for Writ of Mandate filed by Sean Henschel on July 31, 2018 in Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2018-80002940 ("Henschel petition");</li> <li>Any materials submitted to the trial court or the Court of Appeal in connection with the Henschel petition;</li> <li>Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the State Assembly Labor Committee, addressing the difference between being on call and on duty, including how the difference may relate to California Proposition 11, and any response.</li> </ul>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>In regard to your request for records in which you sought records from the Office of the Attorney General, please refer to the response provided in Deputy Attorney General Milad Da Ju's December 10, 2018 letter. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records from the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, and not the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records unique to the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, you may wish to direct your request to each of those agencies.</p>
PRA-2018-02477	Cabada	Robert	12/3/2018	<p>I am generating this correspondence to request information under the California Public Records Act/Freedom of Information Request.</p> <p>The United States Department of Justice referred me to your office for information I am requesting on a Detective employed by the Corona Police Department in Corona,</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>We note that you are requesting records related to an employee of the Corona Police Department. If you wish to review records that are in the</p>

				California. This request is being requested under the California Public Records Act, Chapter 3.5, Division 7 of Title 1 of the California Government Code. I am requesting all information available on Detective Edward Fanchin, of the Corona Police Department, Corona, California. I am an indigent inmate, please waive any fees that may be incurred with this request. If your agency denies this request in whole or in part, please specify the exemption under Government Code on which the denial is based and your appeal process. I am looking forwards to receiving a response to this request within 20 working days as required by Statute.			custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department that employs the officer about whom you are inquiring.
PRA-2018-02478	Payne (Megan's Law Offender Info)	Keia	12/3/2018	I am seeking information on Adrian Erik Luevano. Used to live in federal way Seattle but now lives in Norwalk CA. I want to find out if he has ever been considered a registered sex offender. With the state of CA? Info)	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code (PC) section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records (PC sections 11120 through 11127). However, California PC section 290.46 requires the California Department of Justice (DOJ) to display on the Megan's Law internet site ( <a href="http://www.MegansLaw.ca.gov">www.MegansLaw.ca.gov</a> ) only that specific data mandated in the statute. Any requests submitted to the DOJ for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied. If you desire to know more information about a specific sex offender and can independently identify the county in which he/she was prosecuted for an offense, you may be able to obtain that information by requesting it directly from the court of record. Generally, the release of criminal history information for non-law enforcement purposes is prohibited by both the PC and the California Information Practices Act. Please be advised, if you believe any subject may be engaged in illegal or inappropriate activity, we recommend you contact local law enforcement immediately to report this matter. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-02479	Joyner (SOS)	Leslie	12/3/2018	• Any and all versions of the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) that have been submitted; • Any and all materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the Secretary of State concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11); • Any and all responses to materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the Secretary of State concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11); • Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the Secretary of State, regarding any amendment to delete the reference to ground transport from the definition of "emergency ambulance employee," and any response; • Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the Secretary of State, regarding any other proposed change to	Completed	Erin Nickless	In regard to your request for records in which you sought records from the Office of the Attorney General, please refer to the response provided in Deputy Attorney General Milad Da'ju's December 10, 2018 letter. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records from the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the Senate Senate Labor Committee, and not the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records unique to the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, you may wish to direct your request to each of those agencies.

the language in California Proposition 11, and any response;	• Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the Secretary of State, regarding the Petition for Writ of Mandate filed by Sean Henschel on July 31, 2018 in Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2018-80002940 ("Henschel petition");	• Any materials submitted to the trial court or the Court of Appeal in connection with the Henschel petition;	• Any materials submitted, received or reviewed by the Secretary of State, addressing the difference between being on call and on duty, including how the difference may relate to California Proposition 11, and any response;	• Transcripts of any hearings conducted regarding California Proposition 11.	• Transcripts of any hearings conducted regarding California Proposition 11;	• Any and all versions of the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11) that have been submitted;	• Any and all materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the State Senate Labor Committee concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11);	• Any and all responses to materials submitted to, received and/or reviewed by the State Senate Labor Committee concerning the initiative measure entitled "Emergency Ambulance Employee Safety and Preparedness Act," (A.G. No. 17-0043) (California Proposition 11);
PRA-2018-02480	Joyner (SSLC)	Leslie	12/3/2018	Completed	Erin Nickless	In regard to your request for records in which you sought records from the Office of the Attorney General, please refer to the response provided in Deputy Attorney General Milad Daju's December 10, 2018 letter. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records from the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, and not the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)	The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records unique to the State Assembly Labor Committee, the Secretary of State, and the State Senate Labor Committee, you may wish to direct your request to each of those agencies.	Responses to Requests to Request No. 1: In response to Request No. 1, the Attorney General's Office does not maintain documents that reflect job descriptions[], pay range, mail and
PRA-2018-02384	Bassi (2016-2018 names, job descriptions)	Susan (media)	12/4/2018	Completed	Patty Li	The time period for this request is for records from 2016-2018: 1. All names, job descriptions, pay range, mail and email	Responses to Requests to Request No. 1: In response to Request No. 1, the Attorney General's Office does not maintain documents that reflect job descriptions[], pay range, mail and	

lists for any employee assigned to antitrust, honest service fraud, RICO, tax evasion or other white collar crimes for the San Francisco Bay Area.  
2. Any and all records that are publicly available related to the prosecution of any person known to be: a lawyer, or an employee of a Silicon Valley company known as Netflix, Facebook, Yahoo, eBay, Paypal, Apple, or Google.

"email lists" in a manner that would be easily matched with the names of the personnel you are seeking through this request. We are therefore providing the requested information below. The following information is for an employee whose assignments include tax evasion or other white collar criminal matters in the San Francisco Bay Area, from 2016 to the present:

Job description:  
Deputies in the Fraud and Special Prosecutions Section prosecute complex, interjurisdictional criminal schemes occurring throughout California, including financial securities, mortgage, and other forms of fraud; public corruption; and underground economy offenses, such as tax fraud, counterfeiting, frauds against workers; and labor and human trafficking.

Pay Range (per month): \$10,173 - \$13,062

Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102  
Name and email address: Caroline Chen, Caroline.Chen@doj.ca.gov  
The following information is for members of the Antitrust Section, who have worked on antitrust matters that might involve business operations in Northern California, from 2016 to the present. A \* indicates that the individual no longer works on antitrust matters or is no longer employed by the Attorney General's Office.

Job description:  
The Antitrust Law Section is charged with responsibility for civil and criminal enforcement of California's antitrust laws. It has authority to file civil actions

under federal antitrust laws and civil and criminal actions under state laws. Duties include, but are not limited to: investigation of potential antitrust violations, legal research, analysis of mergers and acquisitions, advice to governmental agencies and other sections on antitrust issues, complex civil litigation in both state and federal courts, and criminal prosecution and preparation of appellate briefs. Some travel may be necessary.  
Pay Range (per month): \$9,210 - \$13,716  
Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102  
Names and email addresses:  
Michael Battaglia, Michael.Battaglia@doj.ca.gov  
Paula Blizzard, Paula.Blizzard@doj.ca.gov  
\*Debbie Chiv, Debbie.Chiv@doj.ca.gov  
Nicole Gordon, Nicole.Gordon@doj.ca.gov  
Esther La, Esther.La@doj.ca.gov  
Adam Miller, Adam.Miller@doj.ca.gov  
Paul Moore, Paul.Moore@doj.ca.gov  
\*Margaret Spencer, Margaret.Spencer@doj.ca.gov  
Quyen Toland, Quyen.Toland@doj.ca.gov  
Emilio Varanini, Emilio.Varanini@doj.ca.gov  
Brian Wang, Brian.Wang@doj.ca.gov  
Susan Welch, Susan.Welch@doj.ca.gov  
Job description:

The Antitrust Law Section is charged with responsibility for civil and criminal enforcement of California's antitrust laws. It has authority to file civil actions under federal antitrust laws and civil and criminal actions under state laws. Duties include, but are not limited to: investigation of potential antitrust violations, legal research, analysis of mergers and acquisitions, advice to governmental agencies and other sections on antitrust issues, complex civil litigation in both state and federal courts, and criminal prosecution and preparation of appellate briefs. Some travel may be necessary.  
Pay Range (per month): \$9,210 - \$13,716  
Mailing address: 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Names and email addresses:  
An K Banerjee, Anik.Banerjee@doj.ca.gov  
Winston Chen, Winston.Chen@doj.ca.gov

Paula G Gibson, Paula.Gibson@doj.ca.gov  
Cheryl Johnson, Cheryl.Johnson@doj.ca.gov  
Malinda Lee, Malinda.Lee@doj.ca.gov  
\*Penny Nagler, Penny.Nagler@doj.ca.gov  
Pamela Pham, Pamela.Pham@doj.ca.gov

Job description:

The Attorney General's Antitrust Law Section ensures an open and competitive marketplace for California, and fosters a healthy business climate through the enforcement of state antitrust laws, both civilly and criminally, and federal antitrust laws civilly, through business merger/acquisition reviews, investigations of potential violations of the law, and where necessary, litigation. The Supervising Deputy Attorney General handles general administrative and managerial matters; ensures maintenance of case information in the Department's case management system, including correspondence, reports, pleadings, decisions, and significant emails; ensures that case milestones are up-to-date; interviews and selects, or actively participates in the interview and selection process for, deputy attorney's general and paralegals; ensures that management directives are followed by their assigned legal team; and supervises and personally performs the most difficult and complex legal work related to trials, writs, appeals, and administrative hearings, which requires the highest level of independent judgment. The SDAG may also coordinate and facilitate communications with other state Attorney General's Offices and other federal and state government agencies working on similar issues.

Pay range (per month): \$11,201 - \$14,401  
Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102

Name and email address: Michael Jorgenson, Michael.Jorgenson@doj.ca.gov  
Job description:

The Attorney General's Antitrust Law Section ensures an open and competitive marketplace for California, and fosters a healthy business climate through the enforcement of state antitrust laws, both civilly and criminally, and federal antitrust laws civilly, through business merger/acquisition reviews, investigations of potential violations of the law, and where necessary, litigation. The Supervising Deputy Attorney General handles general administrative and managerial matters; ensures maintenance of case information in the Department's case management system, including correspondence, reports, pleadings, decisions, and significant emails; ensures that case milestones are up-to-date; interviews and selects, or actively participates in the interview and selection process for, deputy attorneys general and paralegals; ensures that management directives are followed by their assigned legal team; and supervises and personally performs the most difficult and complex legal work related to trials, writs, appeals, and administrative hearings, which requires the highest level of independent judgment. The SDAG may also coordinate and facilitate communications with other state Attorney General's Offices and other federal and state government agencies working on similar issues.

Pay range (per month): \$11,201 - \$14,401  
Mailing address: 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013  
Name and email address: Natalie Manzo, Natalie.Manzo@doj.ca.gov  
Job description:

It is the responsibility of the Senior Assistant Attorney General to plan, direct, and organize the Antitrust Section within the Division of Public Rights within the Department of Justice; to develop policies and procedures with regard to the Antitrust Section; to represent the Attorney General before the courts, the Legislature, the public, other governmental agencies, and the Governor's Office; and to advise the Attorney General on legal issues impacting the Department of Justice.  
Pay range (per month): \$7,190 - \$16,679

Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102

Name and email address: Kathleen Foote, Kathleen.Foote@doj.ca.gov  
Job description:

The Legal Analyst performs a variety of duties in connection with investigations and litigation within the purview of the Antitrust Law Section, as well as assisting with some of the administrative duties in the section.  
Pay range (per month): \$4,344 - \$5,602  
Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102

Names and email address:

\*Samuel Johnson  
Robin Owen, Robin.Owen@doj.ca.gov

Job description:

The Senior Legal Analyst performs a wide variety of complex paralegal duties relating to litigation and investigations with an emphasis in providing discovery and trial preparation support to Deputy Attorneys General and analyst staff. Duties include, assisting attorneys in conducting investigations into criminal and civil antitrust violations, identifying legal violations and preparing pleadings, gathering documents, seizing evidence and developing manual and computerized systems for managing discovery documents, negotiating expert witness contracts, prepares expert witnesses for depositions and trial testimony, drafting deposition notices, attending depositions, summarizing deposition transcripts, attending settlement negotiations and drafting settlement agreements.

Pay range (per month): \$5,223 - \$6,737  
Mailing address: 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000, San Francisco CA 94102

Names and email address:

Laura Namba, Laura.Namba@doj.ca.gov  
Jonathan Mann, Jonathan.Mann@doj.ca.gov

Job description:

The Senior Legal Analyst performs a wide variety of complex paralegal duties relating to litigation and investigations with an emphasis in providing discovery and trial preparation support to Deputy Attorneys General and analyst staff. Duties include, assisting attorneys in conducting investigations into criminal and civil antitrust violations, identifying legal violations and preparing pleadings, gathering documents, seizing evidence and developing manual and computerized systems for managing discovery documents, negotiating expert witness contracts, prepares expert witnesses for depositions and trial testimony, drafting deposition notices, attending depositions, summarizing deposition transcripts, attending settlement negotiations and drafting settlement agreements.

Pay range (per month): \$5,223 - \$6,737  
Mailing address: 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013

Name and email address:

Ivan Zogovic, Ivan.Zogovic@doj.ca.gov

Job description:

The Senior Legal Analyst performs a wide variety of complex paralegal duties relating to litigation and investigations with an emphasis in providing discovery and trial preparation support to Deputy Attorneys General and analyst staff. Duties include, assisting attorneys in conducting investigations into criminal and civil antitrust violations, identifying legal violations and preparing pleadings, gathering documents, seizing evidence and developing manual and computerized systems for managing discovery documents, negotiating expert witness contracts, prepares expert witnesses for depositions and trial testimony, drafting deposition notices, attending depositions, summarizing deposition transcripts, attending settlement negotiations and drafting settlement agreements.

					Pay range (per month): \$5,223 - \$6,737 Mailing address: 300 S. Spring St., Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013 Name and email address: Frank Banueloz, Frank.Banueloz@doj.ca.gov Annette Goode-Parker, Annette.GoodeParker@doj.ca.gov Sheila Rhoads, Sheila.Rhoads@doj.ca.gov Response to Request No. 2: There are no documents responsive to this request.
PRA-2018-02385	Baldwin	Jennifer	12/4/2018	I would like a copy of my brothers mugshot from his DUI arrest in San Diego County, CA. His DOB is [REDACTED]. His name is Brian Michael Baldwin.	Completed  Jaemie Tackett
PRA-2018-02386	Krasyuk	Olga	12/4/2018	I am seeking to obtain a copy of the complaint and other related filings in the case, especially final disposition, that was filed by the office of Attorney General, back when Kamala Harris was the Attorney General, against Wells Fargo NA for violation of Business and Professions Code based on Wells Fargo's practice of breaking into homes and changing locks in home, which are in default on the mortgage.  I am currently personally suing Wells Fargo for identical conduct and these records are for my personal use with my case (30-2018-01028327-CU-OR-CJC). I would greatly appreciate your assistance with this record search.	Completed  Erin Nickless
PRA-2018-02388	Youngblood, Esq.	Hilary	12/4/2018	Records of BFS Toxicology Laboratory, Sacramento Records of BFS Toxicology Laboratory, Santa Rosa All records relating to toxicology testing and results relating to John Edward Corbit (dob [REDACTED]) for MVA date 4/24/18, Santa Rosa Police Department Traffic Collision Report No. 18-00005226.	Completed  Marisa Kolm
PRA-2018-02476	Keys (case records)	Zed	12/4/2018	I need to know if you have retention of these case's BR 05 M 59, BR 05 T 3622, BR 11 T 12813, 09 T 1939	Completed  Erin Nickless
PRA-2018-02484	Marshall	Krystle	12/4/2018	Complete court and prosecution records. As much as is allowed and transcript notes. Case name: people of the state of California vs. Dale audiss. Case number: CF 10372.01. Date of hearings 09/14/95 and 02/09/96. Judge Arthur h. Mann. Clerk E. Kaiser. Counsel for people A.	Completed  Erin Nickless

			Blum. Counsel for Defendant A. Russo. CDC number J87702.					
PRA-2018-02494	Weber (CH)	Ashley	12/4/2018	Under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. Subsection 552, I am writing to request any police reports or documents in regards to Jacqueline Kelly (DOB: [REDACTED]). It is my understanding that Ms. Kelly had multiple theft charges when she was a teen and into her early 20s. Ms. Kelly currently has her niece in her care as a relative foster parent and is in the process of applying to become a licensed foster parent with Upper Peninsula Family Solutions. This information will be used for the purpose of the home study assessment. If these records could be forwarded at your convenience that would be greatly appreciated. If you have any questions or would like any further information please contact me at (906) 273-1095 ext. 211. Please fax any documents to (906) 273-1098 or email to <a href="mailto:webra@upfs.org">webra@upfs.org</a> . Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.	Completed	Public Records	<p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a local court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>	
PRA-2018-02391	Rogers (vital records)	Kenneth	12/5/2018	Divorce Records. Please help, I need a copy of my divorce records. Married in 2008.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>	
PRA-2018-02392	Hanson (court records)	Daniella	12/5/2018	Time Deadlines Of Court for Kenneth Wayne Price on December 4,2018. People V. Kenneth Price I don't know the case#	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a local court, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was heard.</p>	
PRA-2018-02393	Rivera (3rd Party Records)	Jessica	12/5/2018	Seeking to obtain all public records containing the criminal history/background of Kathy Piccini (incarcerated at Stockton State Women's Penitentiary during 1992) and all relative information pertaining to previous and/or	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or</p>	

current legal matters Relationship: Daughter							

PRA-2018-02397	Goldstein (9-11 call)	John	12/5/2018	Requesting transcript of emergency 911 call A 911 call made on 10/15/2018 at approx. 9:30 am from John Goldstein from my cell phone [REDACTED]. I told the dispatcher that a board flew off a truck and struck my car. I was traveling north on the 5 freeway. when officer arrived he assisted us in exchanging information and claimed i didnt need a police report. Now the insurance company wants something to prove it happened at all. I would like a transcript of the emergency 911 call that i placed. i need it to process my claim	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-02398	DiPietro (Desert Sun) (ERICa, CLETS)	Amy (Media)	12/5/2018	<p>I hope the transcript of the call is still available.</p> <p>The insurance company conducted their investigation and after 30 days contacted me to tell me i needed to prove the incident happen. they could not reach the driver of the truck so the burden of proof was on me. Since the officer stated i didnt need a report my only hope is the 911 call.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Your request is overly broad and conceivably covers written communications sent and/or received by anyone in the California Department of Justice (Department). Locating such communications without knowing the specific department employee or officer who sent or received the communication would require we search every file. The Department has offices in six different cities and employs thousands of people. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any communications with Eastern Riverside County Operable Communications Authority (ERICa) or any individuals listed above associated with the authority. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) As such, we deny th is item of your request for records as cast.</p> <p>Please be advised that the Department has a dedicated team of staff who fields hundreds of inquiries from law enforcement agencies regarding access to the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Many of these communications occur during telephone calls and training presentations where no written record of the verbal communication exists.</p> <p>When fielding such inquiries, the Department does not give legal guidance on any particular set of facts or circumstances, but instead directs requestors to the CLETS Policies, Practices and Procedures manual.</p> <p>Although the above-request is overbroad, in an effort to be responsive, we conducted a limited search for communications relating to the Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (ERICa) since October 2017 by contacting logical people within the Department who respond to inquiries from law enforcement agencies regarding access to CLETS, but have not been able to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information about the communications you are seeking, such as the name of the Department employee or officer who sent or received the communication, we can conduct a search of the records within the Department's care and custody.</p>
				<p>1. All communications between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed between the Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (ERICa) and the California Department of Justice, including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, scheduled events, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein</p> <p>2. To the extent not already covered in point 1, all communications including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein, between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed between the California Department of Justice and the following individuals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Kari Mendoza</li> <li>o Travis Walker</li> <li>o Scott Matas</li> <li>o Stan Harry</li> <li>o M ke Wilson</li> <li>o Robert Moon</li> <li>o Lloyd White</li> <li>o David Ready</li> <li>o Rob Rockwell</li> <li>o Greg Fagan</li> <li>o Dale Mondary</li> <li>o Lena Wade</li> </ul> <p>3. All communications between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed mentioning the Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (ERICa), including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein</p> <p>4. To the extent not already covered in point 3, all communications, including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated</p>			

associated attachments referenced therein, between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed, mentioning or otherwise involving any of the following individuals:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Kari Mendoza</li> <li>o Travis Walker</li> <li>o Scott Matas</li> <li>o Stan Henry</li> <li>o Mike Wilson</li> <li>o Robert Moon</li> <li>o Lloyd White</li> <li>o David Ready</li> <li>o Rob Rockwell</li> <li>o Greg Fagan</li> <li>o Dale Mondary</li> <li>o Lena Wade</li> </ul>	<p>5. To the extent not already covered in points 3 and 4, all communications, including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein, between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed, that mention the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System or CLETS, and are addressed to, received from, mentioning or otherwise involving any of the following individuals and entities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Kari Mendoza</li> <li>o Travis Walker</li> <li>o Scott Matas</li> <li>o Stan Henry</li> <li>o Mike Wilson</li> <li>o Robert Moon</li> <li>o Lloyd White</li> <li>o David Ready</li> <li>o Rob Rockwell</li> <li>o Greg Fagan</li> <li>o Dale Mondary</li> <li>o Lena Wade</li> </ul>	<p>The Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (ERICa)</p>	<p>Criminal case information: Date of case, Case number, nature of case for Martin RAMIREZ-PATINO (dob: [REDACTED] in the state of California</p> <p>We require this information for the processing of his immigration matter. I am his immigration attorney.</p>	<p>Completed</p>	<p>Erin Nickless</p>	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled.</p>
PRA-2018-02399	Sesini	John	12/5/2018				

				Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-02400	Bertanzetti (marriage records)	Traie	12/5/2018	Searching for a copy of marriage license. I got married to Steven Howard in Marina Del Ray years ago and need copy of certificate.
PRA-2018-02403	News (Cerritos News) (e-mails)	Brian	12/5/2018	Requesting any emails (with attachments) to anyone at the Department of Justice RECEIVED FROM: Stephanie Shimazu, Yolanda Morrow, Nathan Davalle, or Suzanne George from Sept. 2017 to the present regarding third party proposition players firms, player banked, California and Asian games, Pai Gao, Blackjack, casino style games. This is different than my Nov. 28 request in that I am asking for anyone at the DOJ who RECEIVED emails from the above-mentioned people.
PRA-2018-02404	Ananeh-Firempong (police reports)	Nanafrema	12/5/2018	I am seeking a copy of a police report from the San Francisco PD. The date of the incident is 2/14/18 and parties involved were Dr. Alexander Firempong (my brother). The police site the privacy of the other involved party as why they will not release, but we are okay with receiving a redacted copy. My Brother, Dr. Alexander Owusu Firempong passed away earlier this year at the home of an ex girlfriend that he previously wanted to get a restraining order against. The San Francisco Medical Examiner ruled it a suicide that same day. However, our family does not believe this is the case as he was not suicidal. We spoke the night before, he had plans the following day, and was going out of town that weekend. We begged the police to investigate and they refused so we wanted to review the police report and see if there is anything there that we can cite. Any assistance in obtaining a redacted copy is appreciated.
PRA-2018-02406	Herrera (Case No. TBA110092 )	Julian	12/5/2018	Date: 12/27/2010 Court: Victorville Superior Case # TBA110092 Offense: VC 23152(b) I am looking to obtain a police report and certified copy of the court documents for my personal record.

				court in which the case was filed, and the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-02408	Herrera	Julian	12/5/2018	<p>Year: 2008 Court: Salinas Superior Case #: MS264423A Offense: PC 484(a)</p> <p>I am looking to obtain a police report and certified copy of the court documents for my personal record.</p>
PRA-2018-02409	Leda	Bernie	12/5/2018	<p>Please list all firearms registered to Bernie H Leda Trying to comply with all current laws</p>
PRA-2018-02410	Guerin	Emily	12/5/2018	<p>I am seeking a 1969 report by the California Attorney General's office about land sales in California City, CA by a company called Great Western United (also known as: Great Western Cities, or California City Development Company).</p> <p>Also seeking any other records you may have about real estate sales in California City.</p>

					https://askstatelibrary.libraryresearch.info/refit100.aspx?pmi=90180RUp0a And/or research their catalog found here. http://catalog.library.ca.gov/F/1/VBJD4LISGNKRX2V/S7BJR5LSDN2V1L F8CJ8P6FP1CX6HY6VX-16402?func=find-0-0
PRA-2018-02496	Enderlein	Richard	12/5/2018	[All] information, documentation, and activities undertaken, implemented, and enforced since the passage of AB 30. The California Racial Mascots Act, what have you done? What has your agency done to implement AB 30 in Calaveras County? Are there any laws that the AG and the CA Dept. of Justice don't enforce besides AB 30? If you claim to enforce AB 30, please provide the documents on what has been done to end the institutional racism of Calaveras County.	Completed Erin Nickless
PRA-2018-02407	Koseff (SacBee) (Hartley lawsuit)	Alexei	12/6/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any written communications since Sept. 23, 2016 by Kamala Harris, Xavier Becerra, Chris Knudsen, Peter Halloran or Amanda Renteria about the Danielle Hartley lawsuit and settlement or about Larry Wallace.</li> <li>Any written communications since Dec. 30, 2016 between the California Department of Justice and U.S. Sen. Kamala Harris, her staff or her campaign about the Danielle Hartley lawsuit and settlement or about Larry Wallace.</li> </ul>	<p>Rolling Production Milad Daju</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks information regarding complaints received or investigative files, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General (AG). Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.). Our office does not have a record of laws that the AG and the DOJ do not enforce. As such, we deny your request.</p> <p>On January 30, 2019, Milad Daju responded: Your request was received on December 6, 2018. On December 17, 2018, we notified you that we were extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018. On December 31, 2018, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt records available to you on or about January 30, 2019. We will not produce records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); <i>Roberts v. City of Palmdale</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, California Department of Justice attorneys provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and California Department of Justice attorneys concerning legal matters are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>We will also not produce any records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney work-product exemption. This exemption protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the CPRA as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); <i>County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court</i> (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by California Department of Justice employees who are attorneys or act at the direction of an attorney are subject to the attorney work-product exemption and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>All records responsive to your request that are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the exemptions asserted above are attached to this letter. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>On December 31, 2018, Milad Daju sent a Rolling Production Letter: On December 17, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018.</p> <p>We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from documents responsive to your request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Daju.</p>

PRA-2018-02411	Goldberg (3rd Party Records)	Holly	12/6/2018	I request all public records related to Donald Edward McKinney (age 48), including criminal/court records, incarcerations, lawsuits, judgements, and liens/bankruptcy records. He currently lives in Santa Barbara, CA and used to live in Denver, CO, Arvada, CO, and Oxnard, CA.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.
PRA-2018-02412	Blutstein (AG communication with Sen Harris)	Allan	12/6/2018	1. Communications exchanged between the Attorney General and Kamala Harris or her U.S. Senate staff (@harris.senate.gov) from January 3, 2017 through June 30, 2017. 2. Communications exchanged between Amanda Renteria and Kamala Harris or her U.S. Senate staff (@harris.senate.gov) from January 3, 2017, through June 30, 2017.	Completed	Milad Daju	December 31, 2018 response: We have completed our search and found no records responsive to your request. December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Daju.
PRA-2018-02413	Forbath (Towed Impounded Vehicles)	Aaron	12/6/2018	Please provide copies of all documents and records in possession or under control of the Department of Justice for the period between August 1st, 2015 and the present regarding the Department of Justice's tracking of vehicles towed and/or impounded by state and local agencies in California, including, but not limited to, records responsive to the queries below. 1. How many vehicles were ordered towed and/or impounded by local and state agencies in California each month between August 1st, 2015 and the present? 2. We would like to request records and data from the Department of Justice for all impounds and tows ordered by state and local agencies during the responsive period. Please provide any data and records that captures the following information: a. How many tows were authorized pursuant to California Vehicle Code section 22651(i)? b. How many tows were authorized pursuant to California Vehicle Code section 22651(k)? c. How many tows were authorized pursuant to California Vehicle Code section 22651(o)? d. How many tows did each state and local agency authorize every month during the responsive period?	Completed	Jaime Tackett	To the extent that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has the information you seek, it would be maintained in the Stolen Vehicles System, an on-line statewide database that maintains records that are of interest to law enforcement agencies. All of the information in the Stolen Vehicle System is exclusively entered and accessed via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETs). CLETs was established for the express purpose of permitting peace officers and law enforcement agencies to conduct official business via a secure telecommunications network. (Gov. Code, §§ 15151-15153.) Accordingly, any information transmitted via CLETs to or from the Stolen Vehicles System is confidential and exempt from disclosure. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (K).) Although the above-request is generally exempt from disclosure, in an effort to be responsive, we conducted a search of any reports already compiled in the regular course of business since August 1, 2015, but have not been able to locate any responsive records as we do not process or store reports on towed/impounded vehicles on a regular (daily, weekly, monthly, yearly, etc.) basis. To the extent that you are requesting statistical data for individual offenses, this information is contained in the Automated Criminal History System and deemed criminal offender record information (CORI). Penal Code section 11076, explicitly outlined in Section 11105, provides that

			e. If possible, please break down the number of tows authorized by all state and local agencies based on the reason for the tow. f. If possible, please break down the number of tows authorized by all state and local agencies based on both the reason for the tow and the issuing agency. For all the data requested in item 2, we are requesting any reports already compiled in the regular course of business by your agency or any other authorized agent. If such reports don't contain all of the details in items 2, please send whatever information is available.		
PRA-2018-02414	McGreevy (DOJ employee settlements)	Patrick - LA Times	12/6/2018	February 27, 2019 follow up: My original request for settlements included the following request (see below) for copies of the actual settlement agreements. I was assured that would be provided. Can I please get those documents for the cases you identified yesterday?  Also, how many other settlements for sexual harassment claims will be included in the March 11 records release you mentioned in your email yesterday?	<p>December 6, 2018 request: Could I please get a list of all settlements with state Department of Justice employees since Becerra took office in January 2017, including the name of the employee, the allegation and the amount paid. Also, generically, what is the Department of Justice's policy on training employees on sexual harassment issues before and after complaints are made against them?</p>
			Rolling Production	Milad Da ju	<p>February 27, 2019 response: Attached is a copy of the settlement agreements that were summarized in my February 25, 2019, response to your PRA request. Currently, I don't know how many more settlement agreements will be disclosed in future productions in response to your PRA request.</p> <p>February 25, 2019 response: This letter is in further response to your email request to the California Department of Justice dated December 6, 2018, in which you sought the following records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA), as set forth in California Government Code section 6250 et seq.: 1. A list of all settlements with state Department of Justice employees since January 1, 2010, including the name of the employee, the allegation and the amount paid. 2. Generically, what is the Department of Justice's policy on training employees on sexual harassment issues before and after complaints are made against them? Your request was received on December 6, 2018. On December 16, 2018, we notified you that we were extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On December 31, 2018, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019. On January 30, 2019, we provided you with the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records, and anticipated that the second batch of responsive, non-exempt, records would be available on or about February 13, 2019. Attached is the second batch of responsive, non-exempt, records. It is a summary of seven settlement agreements between the California Department of Justice and its employees that were finalized between January 1, 2010, and December 6, 2018. It does not include information from the personnel records of peace officers. The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, and discipline, are confidential. (Complex Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7.) Thus, any records from the personnel records of a sworn peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.) We anticipate that the next batch of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on or about March 11, 2019. If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>January 30, 2019 response: Our response to each category of records you requested are as follows.</p> <p>Request 1: A list of all settlements with state Department of Justice employees since January 1, 2010, including the name of the employee,</p>


PRA-2018-02470	James Jesse	12/6/2018	The People's Fair Sentencing Act, a Public Safety Act of 2018. Did this pass voters in Nov. 6, 2018, Public Information Act 6250 et seq."	Completed	Anabel Renteria	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.		
PRA-2018-02596	Shannon, M.T.	John Doe	12/6/2018 For Discovery Purposes In The Above-Referenced Case, I Am Requesting That You Provide Me With A Circulating Title And Summary Based On The Text Of The Three Strikes Rehabilitation Reform Act Of 2016, Pursuant To Article Ii, Section 10(d) Of The California Constitution. Please Provide The Requested Information Within 30 Days Of Receipt Of This Request.	Completed	Anabel Renteria	It appears you are requesting information regarding Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018". This initiative did not qualify for the November 2018 General Election. This completes our response to your request.		
PRA-2018-02418	Green (DHCS policy re: PRA sent to DOJ for approval)	Peter	12/7/2018 Any written policy, procedure, directive or instructional material regarding the California Public Records Act, directed towards the Department of Health Care Services. Any DHCS policy or procedure, regarding the California Public Records Act, directed to the Attorney General's Office for approval or modification.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.		
			If you determine that any or all of the information qualifies for an exemption from disclosure, ask you to note whether, as is normally the case under the Act, the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information. If you determine that some but not all of the information are exempt from disclosure and that you intend to withhold it, I ask that you redact it for the time being and make the rest available as requested.			On December 7, 2018, our office extended the time to respond to your request. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. We note that your request relates to approval of another Department's procedures for processing Public Records Act requests. When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act.		
PRA-2018-02419	Packer (Faster Planet)	Leslie	12/7/2018 I am requesting records involving an IT technology firm called "Faster Planet, Inc." that relate to any hack or data breach. The key timeframe for reports or records would be January 1, 2016 to the present, and the records may not be "from" Faster Planet, but may refer to them (e.g., Company A reports a data breach that involved its IT vendor, Faster Planet).	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.		
			Faster Planet, Inc. is currently located at 5105 E. Los Angeles Ave #142 Simi Valley, CA 93063 Office 866.55.FASTER Fax 818.337.0438 info@fasterplanet.com<mailto:info@fasterplanet.com> Please note that I am a journalist investigating a hacking story of public interest. As such, I request fee waiver. If fees cannot be waived, please call me at 516-785-2653 with an estimate of costs and to discuss. I also request that responsive records be sent to me via e-mail (in compressed batches, if necessary). My email account can receive attachments no larger than 10 MB each.			We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.		

Products (or Pre-Con Products) in Simi Valley. If your office can find any breach notification from them, it may mention their vendor without naming the vendor. Other current or past clients of Faster Planet include Hughes Research Lab (HRL.com), AIC Investments, London & Co., DSL Construction, Independent Media, and FreeMarketCPA.com. I don't know if any of them ever reported data breaches and I don't have a full list of Faster Planet's customers back in 2016 when the hack presumably took place.								
If you have any questions or need clarification on this request, please call me.								
PRA-2018-02420	Goldstein, Esq.	Joseph	12/7/2018	I am a California attorney representing a party in litigation. I wanted to know on behalf of my client if an individual formerly employed by my client ever filed a Complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing. Specifically, I want to know if a Complaint was filed in 2015, 2016, 2017, or 2018. The individual's name is David Party.	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requester to confirm the records he was requesting were DFEH. He confirmed and we explained he need to direct his request to the correct agency - DFEH.	
PRA-2018-02421	Kearns (Eviction/CH/Debt Collection)	Lisa	12/7/2018	Eviction record , criminal record, collection debt	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed. For financial records, you should contact the financial institution from which you seek records. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PRA-2018-02422	Schiiano (Tear Gas)	Christopher - Muckrock News	12/7/2018	Any email sent or received by any employee of your agency between November 24, 2018 and November 27, 2018 which includes the keywords "tear gas" and/or "teargas".	Pending Production	Jennifer Lee	February 7, 2019 response: On December 17, 2018, we sent you a letter extending our time to respond to this request. On December 26, 2018 we sent you a letter stating our intent to produce responsive, non-exempt records to you on a rolling basis. The first group of such documents was attached to the e-mail transmitting this letter. Our search for and review of records that may be responsive to your request is ongoing. Please be advised that there may be responsive records that are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the deliberative process exemption, the investigative records exemption, the attorney work-product privilege exemption, and/or the attorney-client privilege exemption.	Investigative Records Exemption: Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice, the Office of Emergency Services, and any state or local police agency. (See <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354., ) investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of

policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).1

Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption: Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the attorney work-product privilege contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 (which protects any writing reflecting an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential) are incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; *County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)

The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and prepare confidential analyses as part of their work. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys, and analyses prepared by those attorneys are privileged materials exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.

Deliberative Process Exemption: The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (*Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are exempt under Government Code section 6255 when the public interest in disclosure is outweighed by the public interest in non-disclosure. (*Citizens for Open Government v. City of Lodi* (2012) 205 Cal.App.4th 296, 306.)

Because it will take some time to review records that may be responsive to your request for such exemptions, we plan to produce responsive, non-exempt records to you on a rolling basis. The second group of documents is attached to the e-mail transmitting this letter. We anticipate providing you with an additional update and production of documents in March 2019.

December 26, 2018 response: On December 17, 2018, we sent you a letter extending our time to respond to this request. Please be advised that, given the nature of your request, it is likely that, to the extent they exist, many of the records responsive to it are exempt from disclosure in whole or in part under the deliberative process exemption, the investigative records exemption, the attorney workproduct privilege exemption, and/or the attorney-client privilege exemption. The following is an explanation of why such materials would be exempt pursuant to those privileges.

Deliberative Process Exemption: The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (*Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court* (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. Attorney Work-Product and Attorney-Client Privilege Exemption: Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 (which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client) and the


				Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. As provided for by law, the Attorney General has discretion to determine the extent of information and the priority of cases to be included in the internet directory which is accessible to the public at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/missing">http://oag.ca.gov/missing</a> . (Pen. Code,§ 14201.6.) If available, the following types of information are generally provided: name (first, middle, last), nickname , gender, hair color, eye color, height, weight, date of birth, race, date last seen, agency, and report type (i.e., parental abduction, runaway , etc.)
				To the extent that you are seeking criminal history record information, unfortunately, the DOJ is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to Penal Code (PC) section 11076, explicitly outlined in PC section 11105, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records, or to the person who is the subject of the record. Court information through the appropriate county superior court is public record. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-02437	Robinson (Mark Robinson)	Molly	Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>If you are seeking records from the school, you would need to contact them directly. If you are seeking records related to the Child Protective Services, you may wish to direct your request to their local office or to the California Department of Social Services. Information on how to contact the California Department of Social Services can be found on their website at <a href="http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#">http://www.cdss.ca.gov/Public-Record-Requests#</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-02437	Robinson (Mark Robinson)	12/7/2018	Completed	<p>Melissa Weikel</p> <p>Pursuant to your request, enclosed please find the following documents:</p> <p>Correspondence to you dated February 8, 2018</p> <p>Correspondence to you dated March 16, 2018</p> <p>Correspondence to you dated July 13, 2018</p> <p>Correspondence to you (via your representative) dated November 13, 2018</p> <p>As we explained in our correspondence of March 16, 2018, July 13, 2018, and November 13, 2018, we attempted to assist you by sending a Notice of Complaint to L'Orient, Inc. They did not respond to the Notice of Complaint.</p> <p>Our office is prohibited by law from representing individual citizens in legal matters. We do, within the limits of our resources, bring lawsuits for violations of California law in cases of statewide significance. However, our role in any legal action against a company is on behalf of all of the people of California. We will retain your complaint regarding this non-profit mutual benefit corporation and contact you should the state commence formal legal action at some future date.</p> <p>If you wish to pursue this matter, we recommend that you consult with a private attorney to determine any civil remedies that may be available to you. An attorney would directly represent your interests and is the one whose advice would be most helpful to you. You may obtain a referral to a certified lawyer referral service by contacting the State Bar at 866-442-2529 (toll-free in California) or 415-538-2250 (from outside California), or via their website at:</p>
PRA-2018-02456	Palomino	Samuel	Completed	<p>According to the referenced documents and seeing that I have sent you my formal complaint against L 'orient Inc. (registered with No. C0936841 as a business - corporation in California) as well as to the time that has since passed, I observe that as stipulated by the law I should have received a response in written form from you confirming that you in fact had received both of my complaints on 4/26/2018 and 8/30/2018 respectively.</p> <p>Therefore, as it is my right in addition to what has been set forth by the CPA, internal administrative rules and regulations of DOJ, I inform you that this is my second letter detailing the current status of my multiple complaints so that I may then decide what following step or steps to take.</p> <p>I also base my request on Article 1, Section 3 (BJ of the California State Constitution, the California Public Records Act (Government Code 6250-6276.48) and the Freedom of Information Act, pursuant to Title 5 of the United States Code, Section 552.</p>

PRA-2018-02458	Bollag	Sophia	12/7/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Any records of internal complaints about Larry Wallace or any other personnel in the Division of Law Enforcement since Jan. 1, 2011.</li> <li>- Any written policy describing how workplace complaints are handled at the Department of Justice.</li> </ul>	<p>Rolling Production</p> <p>Milad Daju</p>	<p>January 30, 2019 response by Milad Daju: Our response to each category of records you requested are as follows.</p> <p>Request 1: Any records of internal complaints about Larry Wallace since Jan. 1, 2011.</p> <p>We are withholding all records responsive to this request because Larry Wallace was a peace officer during his employment with the California Department of Justice. The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, discipline, advancement, appraisal, and election of benefits, are confidential. (Complex Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)</p> <p>Request 2: Any records of internal complaints about any other personnel in the Division of Law Enforcement since January 1, 2011.</p> <p>We are in the process of reviewing records to determine if any are responsive to this request. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on March 1, 2019. If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>Request 3: Any written policy describing how workplace complaints are handled at the Department of Justice.</p> <p>We found four records that are responsive to this request, and all four records are attached. This completes our response to this request.</p>	<p>December 31, 2018 response by Milad Daju: Your request was received on December 7, 2018. On December 17, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018.</p> <p>We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from documents responsive to your request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.</p> <p>December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Daju.</p>	<p>January 30, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 7, 2018. On December 17, 2018, we notified you that we were extending the date for responding to your requests by 14 days. On December 31, 2018, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated making the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on or about January 30, 2019.</p> <p>We have withheld records, and redacted information, from the personnel file of Larry Wallace because he was a peace officer during his employment with the California Department of Justice.</p> <p>The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, discipline, advancement, appraisal, and election of benefits, are confidential. (Complex Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen.</p>		
PRA-2018-02459	Kopan (SF Chronicle)	Tai	12/7/2018	<p>I am requesting the employment record of Larry J. Wallace at the California Justice Department, including his start date, end date, salary (starting and all adjustments), performance reviews, promotion recommendations, records of any complaints filed, substance of those complaints (if possible) and final disposition of any such complaints.</p>	Completed	Milad Daju				

				<p>Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (K), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)</p> <p>All records responsive to your request that are not exempt from disclosure pursuant to the aforementioned exemption are attached to this letter. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>December 31, 2018 response: On December 17, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018.</p> <p>We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from document December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.</p>
PRA-2018-02466	Kopan (employment records)	Tai	12/7/2018	<p>I am requesting the employment record, as available, of Larry J. Wallace (or Lawrence J. Wallace, if records are listed as such) at the California Justice Department, including his start date, end date, salary (starting and all adjustments), performance reviews and promotion recommendations.</p> <p>I am requesting any disciplinary records of Larry J. Wallace where charges were sustained or discipline was imposed in the duration of his employment at the Department of Justice. Courts have ruled that when "complaints of a public employee's wrongdoing and resulting disciplinary investigation reveal allegations of a substantial nature, as distinct from baseless or trivial, and there is reasonable cause to believe the complaint is well founded, public employee privacy must give way to the public's right to know." (Bakersfield City School District v. Superior Court of Kern County, 118 Cal. App. 4th 1041, 1045 (2004)).</p> <p>I am requesting any internal correspondence involving any of the following people: Kamala Harris, Nathan Barankin and/or Larry J. Wallace, that also includes mention of Danielle Hartley or workplace complaints regarding Larry J. Wallace.</p> <p>I am requesting records of any sexual harassment conduct training for Larry J. Wallace and Nathan Barankin.</p> <p>I am requesting any record of investigations conducted by the agency's Equal Employment Rights and Resolution (EER&amp;R) Office regarding Larry J. Wallace and any communication about Larry J. Wallace by EER&amp;R to either Nathan Barankin or Kamala Harris.</p>

Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (K), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)

Request 2: Any disciplinary records of Larry J. Wallace where charges were sustained or discipline was imposed in the duration of his employment at the Department of Justice.

We completed a reasonable search for these records and did not find any responsive records.

Request 3: Any internal correspondence involving any of the following people: Kamala Harris, Nathan Barankin and/or Larry J. Wallace, that also includes mention of Danielle Hartley or workplace complaints regarding Larry J. Wallace. Attached are the responsive records we have found so far. We have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (K); Gov. Code, § 6255.)

We also redacted the name of DOJ Special Agents because the public interest served by not disclosing such information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) The DOJ employs peace officers as provided in Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b). The DOJ's primary law enforcement function is to conduct covert and overt criminal investigations. As part of their duties, DOJ Special Agents work undercover assignments to develop sources of information and intelligence to accomplish organizational objectives.

Releasing the names and other identifying information of these peace officers would compromise their safety and efficacy, and therefore the DOJ's ability to conduct undercover investigations.

We continue to search for records that are responsive to this request. We anticipate that the next production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on February 13, 2019.

If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.

Request 4: Records of any sexual harassment conduct training for Larry J. Wallace and Nathan Barankin.

We are in the process of reviewing records to determine if they are responsive to this request. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on February 13, 2019. If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.

Request 5: Any record of investigations conducted by the agency's Equal Employment Rights and Resolution (EER&R) Office regarding Larry J. Wallace.

We completed a reasonable search for these records and did not find any responsive records.

Request 6: Any communication about Larry J. Wallace by EER&R to either Nathan Barankin or Kamala Harris.

We completed a reasonable search for these records and did not find any responsive records.

December 31, 2018 response: On December 17, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days, to December 31, 2018.

We have determined that your request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. We are in the process of gathering and reviewing responsive records. We anticipate that the first production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on January 30, 2019. If any records are withheld or redacted from documents responsive to your request, we

					will provide an explanation for doing so with that production. December 17, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalij.
PRA-2018-02429	Loyd	Rick	12/9/2018	Completed	<p>Bank loan ,mortgage , title trail etc, Do to the resent countywide /bank of America settlement I am seeking information of the year 2004 of May the 4th records of a home I purchased . What I searching for in regards of Bank of America has continued to denies the activity of the correct information as I found my loan transfer to countywide the 2005 September the 9th is being falsified in the matters of my being shown from B of A complaint response of the complaint I had requested in the Year 2018 of October 20th .Since this unexcused reason of how in 2004 May the 4th I went into a term of service of countywide with a fraudulent form of reason of loan amount ,its become a night mare that has unfolded into a falsified string of events of, notoriety terms of loan wordings etc, that has created my loan payments as for a negative of principle of prof i've paid A interest only the entire time frame of September 2005 the 9th . This has doubled my house payment as in the past of trying to modify the loan to stop this payment increase tharts changed as the terms of 5/1 arm loan I never requested November 1 2008 , This is moving me possibly into A FORE CLOSER on mortgage payments soon as I 'm forced of missing a payment .? If I've contact the wrong department here I APOLOGIZE for my confusion on this asking? if so could you advise me were this type of info I can redirect to finding this type of info as very much needed to over come this as a fraudulent act of tampering with my personal info in a financial disaster of my life this day of December the 8th 2018 .</p>
PRA-2018-02455	Powell	Timothy	12/9/2018	Completed	<p>Response letter to DOJ form BOF 119 Law Enforcement Gun Release Application</p> <p>I am in need of a copy of the response letter to the above mentioned form. I had received the original approx 3-4 weeks ago, but at the time I was in the hospital. In the process of being discharged the letter was lost. So I am hoping I can retain a copy of the form to retrieve my firearm and have for my records.</p> <p>A hard copy can me mailed to the above address, or preferably be emailed to the above email address. I can be reached by phone @ [REDACTED]</p>
PRA-2018-02431	Kreutz	Elizabeth	12/10/2018	Completed	<p>We are seeking communications between the Guiding Hands school in El Dorado Hills, CA and the California Department of Justice between Dec. 4th through Dec. 7th 2018. We would like as much communications as possible, however, specifically, we are looking for a letter sent to the</p>
					<p>This correspondence is in response to your online request form submission dated December 7, 2018, which was received by the California Department of Justice (DOJ) on December , in which you sought records pursuant to the Public Records Act contained in Government Code section 6250 et seq.</p>

school's site administrator, Cindy Keller, on December 5th from the California Department of Justice.	Specifically, you are seeking: "We are seeking communications between the Guiding Hands school in El Dorado Hills, CA and the California Department of Justice between Dec. 4th through Dec. 7th 2018. We would like as much communications as possible, however, specifically, we are looking for a letter sent to the school's site administrator, Cindy Keller, on December 5th from the California Department of Justice."	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. In this case, you may wish to contact the California Department of Education.  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.  We hope this information has been of assistance.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking police report records, police reports are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)  If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.  To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.  If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	Completed	Maria E. Silva	

			Buena Park Police Department failed in the handling of this case.				
PRA-2018-02434	Swain (DNA test)	Melanie	12/10/2018	DNA Test Results for my brother I recently had a DNA test, as I have heard that my brother and I are only 1/2. Now based on my DNA test, it appears that we may have the same father, after all. I would like to compare mine with my brothers of which the D.A. and police have taken from him. If I am unable to get it from his file, can I bring the test tube with me to visit, and have him spit in it? Please advise.	Completed	Marisa Kolm	We cannot determine from the information provided in your request if your brother's DNA has been submitted to the Department. To the extent that those records may exist, the Department would be unable to comply with your request for records relating to your brother's CAL-DNA Data Bank Program forensic DNA profile. California Penal Code section 299.5(a) exempts DNA Data Bank Program records from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. Significant criminal and civil penalties could be imposed upon Department of Justice employees for violating these disclosure restrictions. DNA Data Bank records also qualify as Department of Justice "intelligence information," and are thus exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f). (See American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 449-450 [interpreting "intelligence information" to include personal identification information].) Finally, the type of information you request constitutes privileged official information within the meaning of Evidence Code section 1040. That provision similarly prevents its disclosure.
PRA-2018-02436	BondGraham - EastBayExpress	Darwin (PRA Logs)	12/10/2018	Please provide me with a copy of your public records request log, or any other document which the DOJ uses to list and/or track all Public Records Act requests submitted between the dates of January 1, 2018 and December 11, 2018.  Please include all of the information maintained in the log, including the name of the requester, the records requested, the date the request was submitted, a date a determination and/or response was provided, and the disposition of the request (fulfilled, denied, no responsive records, etc.).  If you have any questions, please call me at 510-879-3733.	Rolling Production	Erin Nickless	December 20, 2018 Response:  Enclosed please find a compact disc containing Public Records Act request logs from January 2018 through July 31, 2018. We are in the process of generating logs for August 1, 2018, through the date of your request. At this point, we anticipate that this process will take a minimum of 12 weeks to complete. Accordingly, we will produce the August, September, October, November and December logs on a rolling basis, as they are completed.
PRA-2018-02440	Gallegos (Case#F006 64)	Feliciano	12/10/2018	My name is Felician Gallegos, I am the Defendant/appellant in the above caused matter (case # F006664 and case # 6551). I'm currently an inmate at the California Men's Colony-West State Prison located in San Luis Obispo, CA serving a term/sentience under the California Department of Corrections (CDCR). Upon receipt of this legal letter of request I respectfully request the foregoing (above) legal documents pursuant to California Public Records Act and Government Code Section 6250.	Completed	Michael Dolida	On January 3, 2019, Michael Dolida responded: All of our case file records are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. In Prolaw, we were able to locate information pertaining to a file with the case number and name you listed in your request. However, in our attempt to retrieve the file from our storage system, we were informed that the file had been destroyed pursuant to the file's assigned retention code. As a result, we do not have any records that are responsive to the request submitted by your mother on your behalf.  If you have not done so already, you may try contacting your appellate attorney and/or the superior court where the case was held for additional information on court files that can be disseminated to members of the public.  December 20, 2018 an extension was sent by Michael Dolida.
PRA-2018-02441	Gonzalez	Blanca	12/10/2018	Records regarding Pacheco Transport and Kianeses Transport, both in La Quinta, California. Jose Mauricio Pacheco AKA David Mauricio Pacheco Court discovery purposes	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.  We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.

PRA-2018-02442	Sprague	Jamie	12/10/2018	All documents and communications related to an August 22, 1995 letter and memorandum from attorney Brian Oxman to the Attorney General regarding the prosecution of Donald Bohana in the case People v. Donald Bohana (Case No. BA109446) in Los Angeles Superior Court	Completed	Matthew Cooper	We have searched our file in the matter of People v. Donald Bohana (Superior Court of Los Angeles County, Case No. BA109446). Please be advised that our files does not include a "... letter or memorandum from attorney Brian Oxman dated August 22, 1995"
PRA-2018-02468	Koseff (SadBee) (Settlement agreements)	Alexei	12/10/2018	This letter serves as a request under the California Public Records Act for settlement agreements for claims filed, or threatened to be filed, with a third-party adjudicatory tribunal against the Attorney General or the Department of Justice (including its past or present officers, agents, employees or representatives). This request is for any such settlement agreements signed or otherwise finalized by the Department of Justice since Jan. 1, 2011, in which the Department of Justice agreed to a payment of money or a change in department policy or regulation.	Completed	Milad Da Ju	January 30, 2019 response: Your request was received on December 10, 2018. On December 20, 2018, we notified you that we were extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days, to January 3, 2019. On January 3, 2019, we notified you that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019. Attached is the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records. We anticipate the next batch of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on or about February 13, 2019. If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.
PRA-2018-02474	Johnson	Jonatha n	12/10/2018	I am writing due to the People's Fair Sentencing Act of 2018. I'm requesting the written information on it as well, did it pass? Which is it going in effect starting Jan 2019.	Completed	Anabel Renteria	It appears you are requesting information regarding Initiative 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018", a copy of this initiative is enclosed. This initiative did not qualify for the November 2018 General Election. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-02485	Olfati (vandalism)	Parvin	12/10/2018	Any and all documents that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communication to, from, with and/or about Jeff McGuire and/or the California Department of General Services that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati, Patty Olfati, Patti Olfati, and/or Olfati, and/or [REDACTED] created, transmitted, and/or stored on any California Department of Justice department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, officer, office, agency, agent, employee and/or representative computer or other medium between January 1, 2015 and the date of your response to this letter. The documents requested include but are not limited to: (1) Any house or residence used or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati including but not limited to [REDACTED] including but not limited to any break-ins to or tampering with the basement, furnace, plumbing, roofing, tarp, drywall, gas system, fireplace, kitchen, appliances, water heater, light fixtures and/or yards; (2) Any mail addressed to or believed to be addressed to Parvin Olfati at any address including but not limited to [REDACTED] (3) Any safe deposit box believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any safe deposit box at SAFE Credit Union; (4) Any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but limited to any Mercedes, Honda, Saab, Mercury, Ford and/or Honda automobile; (5) Any person or entity believed or known to be providing services on any automobile used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to Cars 2000, Inc.; Sean Sayarah; Von Housen Automotive Group; Mercedes-Benz of Sacramento; and/or Smog Diagnostics Inc. (6) Any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any dogs named Kiko, Sasha, and/or Shadi; (7) Any communications to, from, with and/or about attorney K.W. Davis that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

	<p>Olfati and/or any animal believed to be her care and/air associated with her.</p> <p>(8) Any communication to, from with and/or County Oaks Pet Hospital, Dr. Karl Vance, the office manger and/or staff,</p> <p>(9) Any person known or believed to provide gardening services to Parvin Olfati and/or at [REDACTED]</p> <p>(10) Any placement of any animal droppings, animal feces, cleaning liquids, poisons, fertilizer, toxic substances, and/or contaminants at any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati including but not limited to [REDACTED], including but not limited to any basement, attic, kitchen, bathroom, and/or light fixtures therein;</p> <p>(11) Any theft or unauthorized taking of any property or document believed to belong to Parvin Olfati;</p> <p>(12) Any person or entity known or believed to be providing veterinary services to Parvin Olfati and/or any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati;</p> <p>(13) Any kidnapping of, injury to, poisoning or death of any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati, including but limited to any dogs named Shadi, Sasha and/or Kiko; and/or [REDACTED]</p> <p>(14) Any resident of the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] and/or the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

PRA-2018-02500	Middleton	Ervin	12/10/2018	<p>associated with Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any dogs named Kiko, Sasha, and/or Shadi;</p> <p>(7) Any communications to, from, with and/or about Pet Pals, Berita Feil William Zeff, and/or Kenneth Addison;</p> <p>(8) Any communication to, from with and/or County Oaks Pet Hospital, Dr. Karl Vance, the office manger and or staff;</p> <p>(9) Any person known or believed to provide grooming services to Parvin Olfati and/or at [REDACTED]</p> <p>(10) Any placement of any animal droppings, animal feces, cleaning liquids, poisons, fertilizer, toxic substances, and/or contaminants at any house or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati including but not limited to [REDACTED], including but not limited to any basement, attic, kitchen, bathroom, and/or light fixtures therein;</p> <p>(11) Any theft or unauthorized taking of any property or document believed to belong to Parvin Olfati;</p> <p>(12) Any person or entity known or believed to be providing veterinary services to Parvin Olfati and/or any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati;</p> <p>(13) Any kidnapping of, injury to, poisoning or death of any animal believed to be in the care of and/or associated with Parvin Olfati, including but limited to any dogs named Shadi, Sasha and/or Kiko; and/or [REDACTED]</p> <p>(14) Any resident of the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] and/or the [REDACTED] or [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	<p>Maria E. Silva</p> <p>We do not have records responsive to your request. Your request seeks Attorney General Becerra's "registration statement" under the Foreign Agents Registration Act. However, Attorney General Becerra is not an agent of a foreign principal, and he has no registration (or other) obligations under the referenced statute. For more information on the Foreign Agents Registration Act, you may wish to contact the United States Department of Justice – <a href="https://www.justice.gov/nsd-fara/fara-contact-information">https://www.justice.gov/nsd-fara/fara-contact-information</a>.</p> <p>Please also note that, absent a request for representation from another state agency, the California Department of Justice responds solely on its own behalf. The Department is not a depository for records for the entire state of California, and we only maintain the Department's own records. If you wish to review or obtain records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you will need to direct your request to that agency.</p>	

PRA-2018-02443	Palermo	Michelle 018	I am writing you today to request a copy of All files including my name Michelle Nicole Palermo electronically please. Date of birth [REDACTED] I am a single individual whom has never been married and have always lived in California. If you can please help me to get my public records of all court cases and provisions of international guidance. I'm not sure if I'm supposed to go to this site or a different one.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.	
PRA-2018-02444	Triplett	Treasurer	I, Treasure Triplett would like the full or forensic public records for San Bernardino Civil court case #: Swift CIVDS1719091. Treasure Triplett (self) vs. Swift Transportation. I was involved in an accident in July of 2016 and just recently in Nov. 2018 received a check for the damages. I am however, uncomfortable with the amount I received compared to the lawyer. I, Treasure Triplett would like the full or forensic public records for San Bernardino Civil court case #: CIVDS1719091. Treasure Triplett (self) vs. Swift Transportation. I was involved in an accident in July of 2016 and just recently in Nov. 2018 received a check for the damages. I am however, uncomfortable with the amount I received compared to the lawyer.	Completed	Erin Nickless	As stated in our attached November 28, 2018 response to your request for records related to the case Treasure Triplett vs. Swift Transportation, our office does not have records responsive to your request. To the extent that you are seeking legal assistance or advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your request.	
PRA-2018-02446	Ajetunmobi (complaints)	Alaba	Details of justified complaints against FREEWAY INSURANCE SERVICES, INC., for the following years: 2015, 2016 and 2017	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request. Complaints and enforcement records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)	
PRA-2018-02447	Lazar (DOJ credits-refunds)	Michael	Pursuant to PRA which grants access to copies of public records, we respectfully request the most up to date information pertaining to the following types of obligations: * Records concerning credits, overages, or refunds that are due and owing by the department * Unclaimed Bonds or deposits on hand that are redeemable upon request from payee. * Outstanding and refundable credit balances. * Checks exempt from Unclaimed Property Reporting. * Unclaimed, uncashed, undeliverable, stale-dated, voided, overdue and/or outstanding payments or checks/warrants issued and owed by The California Department of Justice. If some of this request is exempt from release, please release the remainder of the record which is allowed. Please provide all requested records that are greater than \$500, are claimable (The obligation to the payee has not been voided by law). The funds have not been turned over to the abandoned property office, and the funds are not in the process of being issued or reissued. Also for any outstanding checks, please only provide those that the payee still has the right to claim and have been outstanding for a period of over 3 months. For each property, please provide issue dates, payee names, addresses, and dollar amounts due. If possible, an excel	Completed	Stacy Heinzen	After review of the department's records and processes, the department has no records responsive that meet the requirements you outlined in your request.	

				document would be the desired format to receive data for all available years. If the requested records could not be found or do not exist in your possession, please provide the contact information for the public body from which they may be requested and forward this request to them (For example, if another department issues checks on your behalf.)		
				We would appreciate your prompt attention to this matter and, if possible, request your response within 15 business days of receipt of this letter. We will reimburse for any reasonable costs associated with the provision of these documents. Please notify us should costs exceed \$50. Thank you for your assistance with this matter. If you have any questions concerning the above or need further clarification, please contact me at (404) 264-8545 or Michael.Lazar@MarketSphere.com<mailto:Michael.Lazar@MarketSphere.com>		
PRA-2018-02448	Mikellionis (Hartley Settlement)	Lukas (Fox News)	12/11/2018	In accordance with the California Public Records Act, I am requesting to obtain copies of the following public records: Any forms of correspondence (email, memos, letters and etc.) sent between then-Attorney General Kamala Harris and Larry Wallace, then-director of the Division of Law Enforcement, that relate to any sexual harassment settlements at the state DOJ and/or directly mentions Danielle Hartley. The timeframe of the request is from January 3, 2011 to January 3, 2017.	Completed	Milad Da ju
PRA-2018-02449	Herring (unspecific court records)	Kimberly	12/11/2018	court records including judges probation revisions, terms, sentencing, judge name, and district attorney, all convictions and probation violations court appearances and charges, including sentencing terms if probation is revoked 09-2016 - 11-30-2016 san diego superior court house 09-2017 - 11-30-2017 san diego superior court house 06-2018 - 12-10-2018 san diego superior court house	Completed	Erin Nickless
PRA-2018-02450	Miller (Merced Sun-star) (investigative records)	Thaddeus	12/11/2018	Report from the investigation into Atwater Police Department's evidence locker. The investigation began in or around August of 2018. I am a reporter for the Merced Sun-Star newspaper.	Completed	Marisa Kolm
PRA-2018-02451	Gibbons (Harris)	Darin	12/11/2018	All records of correspondence including emails, e-mail attachments, text messages, and memos that were sent by or received from Former Attorney General Kamala Harris	Completed	Milad Da ju

emails and texts)	referencing "Danielle Hartley," "Hartley," "Executive Assistant for Larry Wallace," and "Shannon Patterson" between January 2011 and 2014.				7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we needed more time to complete our search for responsive records and that we would provide you an update by January 30, 2019. We completed our search and found no records responsive to your request. This completes our response to your request.	
PRA-2018-02452	Gibbons (Harris and Wallace communications)	Darin	12/11/2018	All records of correspondence including emails, e-mail attachments, text messages, and memos that were sent by or received from Former Attorney General Kamala Harris and Former California Department of Justice Director of Division of Law Enforcement Larry Wallace between January 2011 and 2014.	Completed Milad Da Ju	January 30, 2019 response. Your request was received on December 11, 2018. On December 21, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019. We have completed our search and found responsive records, which are attached. We have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) We also redacted the name of DOJ Special Agents because the public interest served by not disclosing such information clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) The DOJ employs peace officers as provided in Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b). The DOJ's primary law enforcement function is to conduct covert and overt criminal investigations. As part of their duties, DOJ Special Agents work undercover assignments to develop sources of information and intelligence to accomplish organizational objectives. Releasing the names and other identifying information of these peace officers would compromise their safety and efficacy, and therefore the DOJ's ability to conduct undercover investigations. This completes our response to this request.
					December 21, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Da Ju.	
PRA-2018-02452	Gibbons (Wallace grievances)	Darin	12/11/2018	All personnel records, including but not limited to, applications for employment, training records, employment reviews, any grievances or complaints filed against or by, and records regarding the termination or discharge of employment, in any and all formats that mention, reference, or relate to Former California Department of Justice Director of Division of Law Enforcement Larry Wallace, or any common variation of his name. The timeline for this request is from January 2011 to March 2017. The scope of this request is inclusive of any common variations of the name Larry Wallace.	Completed Milad Da Ju	February 27, 2019 Response: ... Your request was received on December 11, 2018. On December 21, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019. On January 30, 2019, we provided you with the first batch of responsive, non-exempt, records, and anticipated that any additional responsive, non-exempt, records we found would be available on or about February 13, 2019. We have completed our search for personnel records related to Larry Wallace's employment with the California Department of Justice. Other than the records we provided to you on January 30, 2019, all responsive records are exempt from disclosure because Larry Wallace was a peace officer during his employment with the California Department of Justice. The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, discipline, advancement, appraisal, and election of benefits, are confidential. (Comply Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k),

incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)  
 Your request for all personnel records that mention or reference Larry Wallace from January 2011 to March 2017 is unduly burdensome because it requires the California Department of Justice to search for and review the personnel records of every individual employed by the California Department of Justice during an approximately six-year period. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search for and review of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we decline to search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to arrive at a reasonable request. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)

On January 30, 2019, Milad Dalju responded: Your request was received on December 11, 2018. On December 21, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the date for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we have disclosable public records that are responsive to your request and anticipated that we would make the first batch of responsive, nonexempt, records available to you on January 30, 2019.

Attached are the responsive records that we have found so far. We have withheld records, and redacted information, from the personnel file of Larry Wallace because he was a peace officer during his employment with the California Department of Justice. The California Department of Justice employs peace officers pursuant to California Penal Code section 830.1, subdivision (b), and information from the personnel records of peace officers, including records of complaints, investigations, discipline, advancement, appraisal, and election of benefits, are confidential. (Complex Press, Inc. v. Superior Court (2006) 39 Cal.4th 1272, 1284-1286; Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training v. Superior Court (2007) 42 Cal.4th 278, 289-290; Pen. Code, §§ 832.5, 832.7, 832.8.) Thus, records and information from the personnel file of a peace officer of the California Department of Justice are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (K), incorporating Pen. Code, § 832.7.)

We continue to search for records that are responsive to your request. We anticipate that the next production of responsive, non-exempt, records will be available on February 13, 2019.

If any records are withheld from any future production of records responsive to this request, we will provide an explanation for doing so with that production.

December 21, 2018 an extension was sent by Milad Dalju.

Your request was received on December 11, 2018. On December 21, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days to January 4, 2019.

As currently drafted, your request is unduly burdensome because it requires the DOJ to search for and review all correspondence sent by or received from two individuals during a three-year period without reference to any particular subjects. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search for and review of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)

Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)

Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or

PRA-2018-02454	Gibbons (Wallace communications)	Darin	12/11/2018	All records of correspondence including emails, e-mail attachments, text messages, and memos that were sent by or received from former Assistant Director of the California Department of Justice's Division of Law Enforcement Rick Lopes, and Former Director of DLE Larry Wallace, referencing "Hartley," "Danielle Hartley," "harass," and "reassign" between January 2011 and 2014.	Completed	Milad Dalju	12/11/2018	On December 21, 2018, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) notified you that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days to January 4, 2019.
PRA-2018-02457	Bolin (employment records)	Dominique	12/11/2018	10 year employment history. For airline applications	Completed	Erin Nickless		

					control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.	
PRA-2018-0248	Ofiati (PRA Procedures)	Parvin	12/11/2018	Any and all formal, informal, written, unwritten, ad hoc, and/or other policies, procedures, guidelines, protocols, chain of command, chain of communication, chain of custody, rules and/or regulations that mention, refer to, and/or relate to the communication of, transmittal of, handling of, and/or communication to the constitutional officer Attorney General, the DOJ Law Enforcement Division, any DOJ employee other than the Public Records Liaison, and/or any person or entity outside the DOJ, regarding PRA, IPA, and/or PRA/IPA requests received from any person or entity. The requested documents, records and/or writings include but are not limited to any document, record and/or writing that would: 1. Show the chain of communication, custody, or command regarding PRA, IPA, and/or PRA/IPA requests received by the addressee office; 2. Constitute any communication about the nature of and/or contents of any PRA, IPA, and/or PRA/IPA request; and/or, 3. Constitute any communication about the nature of and/or contents of any office response to any PRA, IPA, and/or PRA/IPA request.	Completed  Erin Nickless	<p>Our guidelines for processing Public Records Act Requests can be found online at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/consumers/pra_guidelines.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/consumers/pra_guidelines.pdf</a>. Our training regarding the handling of Public Records Act Requests is available online at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/publications/pra.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/publications/pra.pdf</a>.</p> <p>Additional information regarding the evaluation of Public Records Act requests can be viewed in our Summary of the California Public Records Act 2004, which is available at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/publications/summary_public_records_act.pdf">https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/publications/summary_public_records_act.pdf</a>.</p> <p>Additional information regarding the Public Records Act can be found on our Frequently Asked Questions - Public Records page located at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/general/pra_faq">https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/general/pra_faq</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-02461	Blonien (Gambling license apps)	Jarrett	12/12/2018	1. All initial state gambling license applications submitted by Patrick Tierney.	Completed  Rianne Kopchak	<p>Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (c), specifies that except as provided in the Gambling Control Act (Act), the records of the Department-1 are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Act. The Department's Bureau does, however, maintain "applications for licenses" under the Act that are available for public disclosure per subdivision (b) of Business and Professions Code section 19821.</p> <p>-1 The term "Department" as used in the Act is defined as the Department of Justice. (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19805, subd. (b); see also Gov. Code, § 15001.1 ["The Department of Justice is responsible for investigation and enforcement of controlled gambling activity in this state as set forth in the Gambling Control Act"].</p> <p>Accordingly, subject to the redactions detailed below, the Bureau is enclosing copies of the license applications described in your request. The license applications required redactions of the following personal information that is exempt from disclosure: residence address, home phone number, personal cellphone number, applicant birth date, and social security number. This personal information has been redacted in accordance with Government Code sections 6255, subdivision (a), and 6254, subdivision (c) ("personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy"). The public interest served by not disclosing this personal information clearly outweighs the public interest served by its disclosure since this information is protected by the strong public policy set forth in California Constitution article I, section 1 - the right of privacy - yet is of minor significance for legitimate uses, identity theft and similar serious crimes often rely on such</p>

PRA-2018-02462	Parr (Megan's Law Database)	Lisa	12/12/2018	I would like to have the California Sex Offender database sent to me via email or mail in electronic tabular format (xls, txt, csv).	Completed	Brian Segal	<p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request. Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "the California Sex Offender database" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR"). Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code §§ 6254, subds. (c) and (f).) To the extent your request seeks information that is confidential in nature, within the meaning of Penal Code section 290.021 or other state statute, your request is denied.</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(1), italics added.) The information posted on the Megan's Law web site is the only information that is available to the public. Thus, any requests submitted to the Department of Justice for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied.</p>
PRA-2018-02463	Waters	Byron	12/12/2018	All writings (as writing is defined by Gov. C., sec. 6253(b)) held by you that pertain to the requestor.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>We have searched our records and legal indices and our results were inconclusive. If you have additional information, such as case names, case numbers, and approximate dates for the records that you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to</p>

					obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-02465	Eli	lolanda	12/12/2018	Completed	<p>I am requesting a Criminal History Background check and a DMV record. This is for employment purposes as the position is working with juveniles that are on probation or in the legal system. I only need 5 years of information. The employer is Nebraska Youth Center, POB 655, 2300 East 2nd Street, North Platte, NE 69103. Chris Amaya is the House Manager and email address is nebraskayouthcenter@outlook.com&lt;mailto:nebraskayouthcenter@outlook.com&gt;, phone number is 308-534-4164, fax 308-534-1068. Please send a copy of information to one of the contacts. The employer will pay fees for the records.</p>
PRA-2018-02467	Koseff (SacBee) (EER&R Complaint)	Alexei	12/12/2018	Completed	<p>I am requesting a Criminal History Background check and a DMV record. This is for employment purposes as the position is working with juveniles that are on probation or in the legal system. I only need 5 years of information. The employer is Nebraska Youth Center, POB 655, 2300 East 2nd Street, North Platte, NE 69103. Chris Amaya is the House Manager and email address is nebraskayouthcenter@outlook.com&lt;mailto:nebraskayouthcenter@outlook.com&gt;, phone number is 308-534-4164, fax 308-534-1068. Please send a copy of information to one of the contacts. The employer will pay fees for the records.</p> <p>• Any records or written communications that track who handled or was told about Danielle Hartley's EER&amp;R complaint, Intake # 0086-2016 – 2862, filed with the Department of Justice on Oct. 3, 2016.</p> <p>• Any records or written communications that track who handled or was told about Hartley's lawsuit against the Department of Justice, Case No. 34-2016-00205613, filed on Dec. 30, 2016.</p> <p>• Any records or written communications that track who handled or was told about the settlement between Hartley and the Department of Justice, reached on May 16, 2017.</p>

				formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. All records responsive to your request that are not exempt from disclosure as asserted above are attached to this letter. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-02469	Rose	Laura	12/12/2018	I'd like to request all my personal records from the years 2000-2018
			Completed	Erin Nickless  Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHS/I/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHS/I/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.  In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-02472	Scharfenber	Eric	12/12/2018	I am seeking the contracts between the Attorney Generals office and the State of California Child Support Enforcement Agency as outlined under 45 CFR 302.34, or any other plans of cooperation or cooperative agreements between the CSEA and Attorney Generals Office. Thank you.) "Ice Ice Baby"
PRA-2018-02473	Alepyan	Akop	12/12/2018	Any and all case names and numbers relating to Akop Jack Alepyan.
PRA-2018-02495	Bryant	Shelley	12/12/2018	Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act and California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency.
			Completed	Cheryl L. Feiner  We were able to locate the following document responsive to your request: Cooperative Agreement (Agreement Number 10-0708-17) between the California Department of Child Support Services and the Department of Justice.  This concludes our response to this request.
			Completed	Erin Nickless  We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.  Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from court cases, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.
			Completed	Jaimie Tackett  The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.

				All records that show whether the Department of Justice charged Stanislaus County any fees for accessing records in the Child Abuse Central Index since January 1, 2017, and the amount of those fees. I ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it, and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the record[s] in question. If you determine that any or all of the information qualifies for an exemption from disclosure, I ask you to note whether, as is normally the case under the Act, the exemption is discretionary, and if so whether it is necessary in this case to exercise your discretion to withhold the information.		The DOJ has determined that we charged Stanislaus County Welfare for 867 transactions that had a Child Abuse Central Index level of service between January 1, 2017 and December 18, 2018. As we charge \$5 for each transaction, the total amount of those fees equates to \$13,005.
PRA-2018-02471	Perman	Stacy	12/1/3/2018	My name is Stacy Perman and I am a reporter with the Los Angeles Times. I'm emailing you because I want to request an electronic copy of the Megan's Law database.	Completed	<p>Jennifer Binger</p> <p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request for the following reasons: (1) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real-time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly changing nature of the Megan Laws database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date.</p> <p><b>Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only</b></p> <p>Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e. not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR"). Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code §§ 6254, subds. (c) and (t).) To the extent your request seeks information that is confidential in nature, within the meaning of Penal Code section 290.021 or other state statute, your request is denied.</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(1), italics added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (<i>Ibid.</i>) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004 ch. 745, § 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site. The information posted on the Megan's Law web site is the only information that is available to the public. Thus, any request is submitted to the Department of Justice for additional information not displayed on the Internet site must be denied.</p> <p><b>The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information</b></p> <p>In your request, you state you are looking for "an electronic copy of the</p>

#### Megan's Law database".

The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a third-party vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registration data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data, and does not disclose historical sex offender registration information.

The Offender Registration Application Unit ("ORAU") is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAU creates a file which contains a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any database for this process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily data files are created.

On our end, ORAU keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice ... ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code § 290.46, Stats. 2004 ch. 745, § 2) and "ensure that ... the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code § 290.46, Stats. 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (C)). Due to the constantly changing nature of the information in the registry, a "snapshot" view of the information for any given day of the Megan's Law web site would almost certainly be obsolete the following day. In other words, the day-old "snapshot" would contain some information that should have been removed, and it would be missing other information at issue, we cannot allow such inaccurate records to exist. The problem is further compounded by the fact that if we provide a "snapshot" for one member of the public we have to provide a "snapshot" to every member of the public that requests it. In doing so, we would be creating a situation wherein an untold number of inaccurate "snapshots" of the database would exist.

Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, or someone who registered upon entering the state and was later advised that he or she did not have a duty to register as a sex offender in California, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. As every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow. Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such

					requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.
PRA-2018-02481	Raboin	Ronald	12/1/3/2018	On March 16 2018, I was the victim of an assault and battery by two co-workers that occurred on the job in the city of El Centro, California. Deputy Baragon arrived at the scene and recorded statements from myself and others, using "body cam" technology. While in shock and traumatized by the attack, I declined to file charges. Aware that I had a 1 year SOL, I contacted Deputy Baragon and requested a police report of the incident. He informed me that he did not file an incident report but at my request he could generate a report from said body cam footage. However he claimed I would require a request from my lawyer to do so. My lawyer suggested I file a PRA request. In response to his suggestion I am requesting said report and the Body Cam footage.	Police report records and body camera information are maintained by the local department that generated the records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)
					If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-02482	Castro	Destiny	12/13/2018	I will be filing charges and a personal injury lawsuit as remedy for the injury sustained during the attack. My request for Workmans Comp has been refused by the employer and this evidence would be crucial to recover damages caused the two assailants and help prove the employer is in violation of Labor Code 3201.5	Completed  Dwv587 August 2010- 2018 I need all court documents for my trial
PRA-2018-02483	Dunford	Mervin	12/13/2018	I Mervin Dunford is requesting the probation report from Superior Court trial dated 02/18/1992 Case Number: 89F04077	Completed  I have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from court cases, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-02488	Bassi (DA Jeff Rosen)	Susan (Media)	12/13/2018	This is a formal records request under California's Public Records Act. I am seeking all records that would be considered public records held by the AG on the Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office. The time period of this request is from 2006- production and specifically includes records related to the 2010 campaign of DA Jeff Rosen, and all records subject to production based on the closed investigation of Jeff Rosen's office for conduct related to misappropriation of public funds in and around 2012 and 2013. Any findings, press releases, expense documents, campaign information or other records	Completed  On January 4, 2019, Chris Knudsen responded: As a preliminary matter, in seeking "all records that would be considered public records held by the AG" relating to the Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office from 2006 to the present, your request is overly broad. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code§ 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) As such, we must respectfully deny your request as currently cast. It should be noted that we nonetheless performed a search for Santa County District Attorney public records with knowledgeable persons and the only potentially responsive documents we located were

specifically related to communications between Jeff Rosen and former prosecutor Kaynn Towery, or Mr. Rosen's attorney James Towery are specifically requested. Including also any expense records, overtime sheets and communications or requests to Santa Clara County.

Comments: I am unfamiliar with the records that may be responsive to this request. From an investigation of prior media stories I understand the DA was investigated in May of 2013, and there may have been other investigations and reports which are concluded where the records would be subject to production. I am also asking for all invoices, billings and expenses reported for any investigation of the Santa Clara County DAO for this time period. Email is the best way to reach me:

gilroybassi@gmail.com>.

I am currently in a poor cell phone service area but will call back if you would like to email me a number to contact. This request is urgent based on an active media investigation. Thank you.

those related to the 2013 investigation discussed below. However, if you have a more specific request, please submit a new Public Records Act request.

Your request specifically references the 2013 investigation of the District Attorney's Office. As an initial matter, we note that the Department is responding to this request on its own behalf and not on behalf of any other agency. If you wish to review records that are in the possession, custody or control of another agency, such as the County of Santa Clara, we suggest that you direct your request to them. For the reasons set forth below, we must also respectfully deny this part of your request:

1. Investigative Records Are Exempt From Disclosure

The records that you seek constitute records of a confidential investigation by the office of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, *Dick Williams v. Superior Court* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 (While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).))

2. Attorney-Client Privileged Records Are Exempt From Disclosure

The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); *Roberts v. City of Palmdale* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)

In the present case, the County of Santa Clara retained the Attorney General's office to complete an investigation relating to the granting of administrative leave. An employment attorney was assigned to complete the investigation and that attorney was required to use her legal expertise to identify, analyze, and evaluate the pertinent facts. The attorney-client privilege extends to situations where an attorney conducts a factual investigation for a client. (*City of Petaluma v. Superior Court* (2016) 248 Cal.App.4th 1023 (review denied).)

3. Attorney Work Product Is Exempt From Disclosure

Additionally, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing or other document that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); *County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court* (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Similarly, the documents gathered and questions asked of witnesses reflect her approach, thought processes, and mental impressions.

4. Private Records Are Exempt From Disclosure

Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c) makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The request is also denied to the extent it seeks private and confidential information.

5. The Public Interest Served By Not Disclosing These Records Clearly Outweighs The Public Interest Served By Disclosure

The investigation files are exempt and privileged, but we also note that withholding is appropriate under Section 6255 of the Government Code. Under the facts of this particular case, the public interest served by not



				a Medical Examiner's report, you should contact the Medical Examiner's office.
PRA-2018-02492	Dillon (Prison Legal News) (death in custody)	Michelle 12/13/018	Completed	<p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>Death in Custody &amp; Arrest-Related Deaths data is available to the public on OpenJustice, a transparency initiative led by the California Department of Justice (DOJ) that publishes criminal justice data so that the DOJ can understand how it's doing, hold itself accountable, and improve public policy to make California safer. <a href="http://www.openjustice.doj.ca.gov/">http://www.openjustice.doj.ca.gov/</a>.</p> <p>California law enforcement agencies report to the DOJ information on any death that occurs while an individual is: (1) in the process of arrest; (2) killed by use of force by law enforcement; (3) in the temporary custody of a law enforcement agency; or (4) incarcerated in a city, county, or state correctional facility.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Please find attached the responsive records for your review. Note, we have only received death in custody forms from California City Correctional Facility. We have not received forms from any other CoreCivic-operated facility.</p>
PRA-2018-02502	Granick (hacking as part of LE investigation)	Jennifer (ACLU) 12/13/018	Completed	<p>Anthony P. O'Brien</p> <p>February 1, 2019 response: On December 21, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request to January 7, 2019. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c); Code Civ. Proc., §§ 10, 12a, 135.) On January 7, 2019, we responded to your request, stating that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession, and that we were reviewing those</p>

documents to determine if any portion of them might require redaction. And on January 17, 2019, we produced 10 documents related to training programs provided by the DOJ to law enforcement regarding basic forensic examination of cellular phones. In that letter, we stated that we were continuing to review thousands of potentially responsive documents, and that we would produce the next batch of responsive, nonexempt documents by February 1, 2019.

On January 29, 2019, I spoke with Kara Brandeisky regarding the scope of your request. During the phone call and in the attached email, Ms. Brandeisky confirmed that you were narrowing your request to only those records related to tools used by DOJ to access data from devices remotely, and that you did not seek records related to "forensic tools that allow law enforcement to access data from devices" already in their physical possession.

We have consulted with knowledgeable persons and can now confirm that DOJ does not remotely access any computers, mobile devices, or other electronic devices outside of DOJ's network, for investigation, training, or any other purpose. Therefore, DOJ does not have any documents that would be responsive to your narrowed Public Records Act request.

January 17, 2019 response sent by Anthony O'Brien: On December 21, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request to January 7, 2019. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c); Code Civ. Proc., §§ 10, 12a, 135.) On January 7, 2019, we responded to your request, stating that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession, and that we are continuing to review those documents to see if any portion of them might be subject to redaction. In that letter, we stated that we anticipated producing responsive, non-privileged, non-exempt records by Thursday, January 17, 2019.

Pursuant to the letter dated January 7, 2019, please find attached disclosable documents . responsive to your requests. Our office identified these documents as responsive to your request because they relate to training programs provided by the Department of Justice to law enforcement on conducting basic forensic examinations of cellular phones. Production of these documents should not be construed as an admission or acknowledgement that the Department of Justice utilizes "hacking" techniques-as defined in your request-or trains other law enforcement personnel on such "hacking" techniques.

Our office has redacted references to specific serial numbers of those products purchased by the Department of Justice. It is our understanding that these serial numbers, or variations thereof, are frequently used as online account numbers, thereby posing a security risk to the law enforcement agency accounts. Therefore, the public interest in not disclosing these specific serial numbers clearly outweighs any public interest in disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6255, subd. (a).)

In addition to the attached documents, our search in response to your request has identified thousands of potentially responsive documents. Our office is continuing to review those documents to determine if they are in fact responsive to your request, and whether they are otherwise exempt from disclosure. We will produce any responsive, non-exempt documents on a rolling basis, with the next set of documents to be produced by February 1, 2019.

January 7, 2019, an update was sent by Anthony O'Brien: On December 21, 2018, we extended the time to respond to your request to January 7, 2019. (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c); Code Civ. Proc., §§ 10, 12a, 135.) We have determined that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession. (See Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (c).) We are still reviewing those documents to see if any portion of them may be subject to redaction based on the applicable privileges or exemptions under the Public Records Act. We anticipate producing responsive, non-privileged,

not any further records about forensic tools that allow law enforcement to access data from devices in already their physical possession.

If you have any other questions about our request, please feel free to contact us here or call me at 847-757-1199.

Thank you very much for your assistance!

January 29, 2019 follow up: We're writing on behalf of Jennifer Granick of the ACLU (cc'ed), and we just left you a voicemail. We received your message about narrowing our request for records regarding the California Department of Justice's use of "hacking" techniques in law enforcement investigations.

You can reach us today at 847-757-1199, or we'd be happy to set up a time to talk at your convenience sometime this week. Thanks so much for your time and assistance.

December 13, 2019 request: We are attorneys with the American Civil Liberties Union and the American Civil Liberties Union of Northern California Foundation ("ACLU"). This is a request under the California Public Records Act (California Government Code §§ 6250 et seq.).

We seek records regarding the California Department of Justice's acquisition and use of "hacking" techniques as part of its law enforcement investigations. With hacking techniques or software, the Department may, among other things, remotely access or perform forensic analysis on electronic devices such as phones or computers that belong to members of the public.

1 Throughout this request, the term "records" includes but is not limited to any paper or electronic information, reports, evaluations, memoranda, correspondence, letters, emails, charts, graphs, flyers, meeting agendas, meeting minutes, training materials, diagrams, forms, DVDs, tapes, CDs, notes, or other similar materials.

2 For purposes of all requests herein, the phrases "hacking," "hacking technique," and "equipment, software and/or technology that implements or facilitates hacking" have their ordinary meanings and include (but are not limited to): techniques that have been described using terms such as "Network Investigation Technique" or "NIT," "Computer Network Exploitation" or "CNE," "Computer and Internet Protocol Address Verifier" or "CIPA V," "Internet Protocol Address Verifier" or "IPAV," "Remote Access Search and Surveillance" or "RASS," "Remote Computer Search," "Remote Access Search," "Remote Search," "Web Bug," "Sniffer," "Computer Tracer," "Internet Tracer," "Remote Computer Trace," or "lawful access" tool; techniques that enable the bypass of encryption, passwords, or other security features in order to facilitate searches or seizures of information stored on computers, laptops, or mobile devices; software commonly described as malware, spyware, trojans, worms, or viruses; and/or relevant commercially available equipment, software and/or technology, including but not limited to: Remote Control System a.k.a. RCS or Galileo (marketed by Hacking Team); Finfisher, FinFisher Relay, FinSpy, and FinFly (marketed by Lench IT Solutions); Pegasus (marketed by NSO Group), Cellebrite's Advanced Unlocking & Extraction Services, XRY, Oxygen Forensic

Please provide copies of records created from July 1, 2016 to the present relating to or reflecting:

(1) The California Department of Justice 's acquisition of

					non-exempt records by Thursday, January 17, 2019. December 21, 2018 an extension was sent by Marisa Kolm.
	<p>equipment, software, and/or technology that implements or facilitates hacking in civil or criminal investigations, including but not limited to invoices, calls for proposals, and other purchasing documents;</p> <p>(2) The California Department of Justice's training of personnel in equipment, software and/or technology that implements or facilitates hacking, and communications with local, state and/or federal agencies or foreign entities that reference commercially available equipment, software and/or technology.</p> <p>We prefer to receive these documents electronically at jgranick@aclu.org. With respect to the form of production, we request that responsive electronic records be provided electronically in their native file format, if possible. Alternatively, we request that the records be provided electronically in a text-searchable, static-image format (PDF), in the best image quality in the agency's possession. Please provide copies of the entire correspondence in relevant searches, including any and all documents or attachments that were included or forwarded.</p>	<p>Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records pertaining to any gender discrimination or sexual harassment complaints against Larry Wallace, an employee of the California Department of Justice, between 1 June 2016 and 1 July 2017.</p> <p>As part of this request, please provide any correspondence, emails, notes or memos related to any claims or complaints filed against Larry Wallace.</p> <p>This should include, but not be limited to, any correspondence between Larry Wallace and other employees of the Department of Justice related to gender discrimination or sexual harassment claims.</p> <p>This request should also cover any executive office briefing memos sent to, or prepared by, DOJ Chief of Staff Nathan Barankin or other senior staff, and any memos, briefings or emails sent to Attorney General Kamala Harris regarding any sexual harassment and/or gender discrimination complaint during that time period.</p> <p>If there are any fees for searching or copying these records, please inform me if the cost will exceed \$100. However, I would also like to request a waiver of all fees in that the disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's understanding of the conduct of our elected officials and their staff. I'm a national political reporter for CNN and the information will be used for news-gathering purposes. This information is not being sought for commercial purposes.</p>	<p>Completed</p>	<p>Milad Daju</p>	<p>On January 30, 2019, Milad Daju sent a response: Your request was received on December 14, 2018. On December 24, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 7, 2019, we notified you by letter that we needed more time to complete our search for responsive records and that we would provide you an update by January 30, 2019. We are withholding records, and redacting information, that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between an attorney and client, are expressly incorporated into the CPRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, California Department of Justice attorneys provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all records pertaining to confidential communications between the Attorney General or his designees and California Department of Justice attorneys concerning legal matters are confidential pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>We are also withholding records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney workproduct exemption. This exemption protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the CPRA as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by California Department of Justice attorneys or at their direction are subject to the attorney work-product exemption and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the CPRA.</p> <p>We are also withholding records that are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege, which exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public</p>

PRA-2018-02501	Raymond	Keimer	12/14/2018	Residence Verification Form, related to appointment of Marsha L. Brown to the Central Area Planning Commission for the term ending June 30, 2017. The appointment letter sent to Ms. Brown, signed by then Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa requested the Residence Verification Form be filed with the city [Los Angeles] Ethics Commission within 21 days of receipt of the letter (dated: September 13, 2012).	Completed	Maria E. Silva	<p>Called requester to confirm he was seeking records from the Ethics Commission and he confirmed. I explained DOJ was not the depository for the State of California and he need to submit his request to the Commission directly.</p>
PRA-2018-02503	Thomas	Aaron	12/14/2018	1. Armed Prohibited Persons report 2. Criminal record	Completed	Public Records	<p>The Department interprets your request to mean you would like to know if you are identified in the Armed and Prohibited Persons System database ("APPS") or if we have a criminal record for you, and, if either record exists, you would like a copy of that record. We address each item of your request in turn:</p> <p><b>Request for APPS Record</b></p> <p>The law limits the persons with whom the Department may share information contained in its Armed and Prohibited Persons System (APPS). (See Pen. Code, § 30000, subd. (b) [restricting the persons to whom APPS information can be made available to certain persons, who are generally involved in the criminal justice system].) Because the PRA exempts any records "the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law" from its disclosure requirements, any records created using information taken from APPS, other than an annual report to the Legislature, are exempt from mandatory disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) On this basis, we deny your request. Information in other non-APPS databases also cannot be disclosed in response to a PRA request. (See Pen. Code §§ 11105, 11106; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)</p> <p>You may check your own eligibility to possess a firearm. However, this request must be made using procedures that allow the Department to verify your identity and protect your privacy. To determine your own eligibility to possess, receive, own, or purchase a firearm you must to submit a Personal Firearms Eligibility Check (PFEC) form to the Department, along with a copy your California Driver License or Identification Card, and a check or money order for \$20. The form must be signed and notarized, and include an impression of your right thumbprint. If you are a non-U.S. citizen, you must also submit a copy of your Alien Registration or I-94 Card.</p> <p>For your convenience, a copy of the PFEC form is included with this letter. For more information regarding this process, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs, Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Program, which is available at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs</a>.</p> <p><b>Request for Criminal History</b></p> <p>The Department cannot release criminal history information in response to a PRA request. (See Pen. Code, § 11105, subd. (b) [identifying persons eligible to receive criminal history information, a list that does not include persons making PRA requests].) As such, individual criminal records are</p>



				Practices Act of 1977, as amended in 1998 and California Penal Code Sections 92 and 93.				
PRA-2018-02599	Guerin	Emily	12/14/2018	More details about timing of settlement/contents	Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>(or other) obligations under the referenced statute. For more information on the Foreign Agents Registration Act, you may wish to contact the United States Department of Justice – <a href="https://www.justice.gov/nsd-fara/fara-contact-information">https://www.justice.gov/nsd-fara/fara-contact-information</a>.</p> <p>We believe that the document requested in item 4 of your request, may be synonymous with the California Statement of Economic Interests Form, and therefore we have enclosed a copy in response to your request.</p> <p>Please also note that, absent a request for representation from another state agency, the California Department of Justice responds solely on its own behalf. The Department is not a depository for records for the entire state of California, and we only maintain the Department's own records. If you wish to review or obtain records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you will need to direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-02602	Li	Gordon	12/14/2018	March 8, 1973: settlement between Dept of Real Estate and California Attorney General with Great Western Cities - injunction prohibiting further misrepresentation in the advertising of land - partial restitution to a small group of investors (CR 268-B, et seq, 285-288)	Completed	Kurt Weissmuller		<p>Thank you for the additional information related to your request. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. As stated in our attached response dated December 14, 2018, you may wish to check the California State Library. Information on how to contact them can be found using the information below:</p> <p>Ask a librarian link via e-mail: <a href="https://askstatelibrary.libraryresearch.info/ref100.aspx?pmi=90180RUp0aAnd/or research their catalog found here: http://catalog.library.ca.gov/F11VBUD4LISGNKRKX2VSBJR5LSDN2V1LF8CJ8P6FP1CX6HY6VX-16402?func=Find-c-0">https://askstatelibrary.libraryresearch.info/ref100.aspx?pmi=90180RUp0aAnd/or research their catalog found here: http://catalog.library.ca.gov/F11VBUD4LISGNKRKX2VSBJR5LSDN2V1LF8CJ8P6FP1CX6HY6VX-16402?func=Find-c-0</a></p>
PRA-2018-02604	Bugarin	Sarah	12/17/2018	Under the CA Public Records Act, please provide me the FY2017 IRS Form 990 for the AIDS Healthcare Foundation (FEIN 954112121) and Food & Water Watch (FEIN 320160439). The CA AG's charities registry database indicates that your office is in possession of these documents.	Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>Yes, our office has received a copy of the 2017 IRS 990 for each of these organizations. The filings are currently in the process of being reviewed and will then be uploaded to each organization's public file. Once uploaded, these documents are available to the public for review and download using our registry verification tool on our website. The link is provided for you below. Please continue to check the website for updates. Due to the large volume of mail that our office receives during this time of year, it may take several weeks for the documents to be reviewed. Please let me know if there is anything else I can assist you with.</p> <p><a href="http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y">http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y</a></p>
PRA-2018-02605	Bassi (CRC 10.163)	Susan (Media)	12/17/2018	Money judgements or eviction	Completed	Erin Nickless		<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.</p> <p>January 31, 2019: On January 22, 2019, we extended the deadline to respond to this request to February 5, 2019.</p> <p>January 10, 2019 response: On December 28, 2018, we extended the deadline to respond to this request to January 10, 2019.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places, and consulted with knowledgeable persons. We have been unable to locate any responsive records. This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>January 23, 2019: Public records sent an extension.</p> <p>January 10, 2019 response: On December 28, 2018, we extended the deadline to respond to this request to January 10, 2019.</p> <p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>

the public defender, the local bar association, or any newspaper or interested party prior to the publication of local court rules.	Finally, I would also request the records the Attorney General may have related to the policies and procedures in handling, processing, reviewing communications sent from any superior court pursuant to California Rules of Court 10.613.	I hope this helps to narrow my request such that the documents can be found. If no documents exist pursuant to this request, I would appreciate formal confirmation and any information you might have as to why.	December 17, 2018 request: Records Pursuant to California Rules of Court 10.613 from Santa Clara County and Contra Costa County for the years 2012-2018. This is a formal request under California's Public Records Act. It is my understanding that under California Rules of Court 10.613 that when a local court is seeking to adopt a local court rule, that notice is to be given to the Attorney General. I am seeking all records sent to and from the AG's office for two California Counties that demonstrate compliance with the rule. I prefer to receive the records in email. If any portion of this request is unclear, please do not hesitate to contact me at 831-320-6421 or email: Gilroybassi@gmail.com<mailto:Gilroybassi@gmail.com>	Completed	Amos Hartston	We have conducted a search of our records. Enclosed are non-exempt public records identified responsive to your requests. We hope this information is of assistance to you.	
PRA-2018-02506	Semerdjian (Fidelity National Case)	Nazzo	12/17/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act and the California Constitution, please produce the documents listed below relating to The People of the State of California, et al., v. Fidelity National Title Insurance Co., et al., Sacramento Superior Court, Case No. 99AS02789:	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-02507	Tabelin (Evictions-Judgements)	Kirstie	12/17/2018	RECORD OF AN EVICTION AND JUDGEMENT AGAINST KIRSTIE TABELIN	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, and/or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital
PRA-2018-02508	Cheung (Divorce Decree)	Alex	12/17/2018	Divorce Decree	Completed	Erin Nickless	

				Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdpf.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdpf.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-02511	Bailey (SB 657)	Gregory 018	12/17/2	<p>Looking for a list of companies which must comply with the California Transparency in Supply Chain Act (SB 657) provided to the Attorney General by the CA Franchise Tax Board</p> <p>On page 15 of the California Transparency in Supply Chain Act: Each year, the California Franchise Tax Board evaluates information from state tax returns to determine which companies must comply with the Act, and provides a list of those businesses to the Attorney General.</p> <p>Is this list available to the public? Any assistance or guidance you can provide is greatly appreciated.</p>
PRA-2018-02511		Completed	John M. Applebaum	<p>The Act added (among other provisions) section 19547.5 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, which requires the FTB to provide the Attorney General's Office with a list of retail sellers and manufacturers that are required to disclose efforts to eradicate slavery and human trafficking, as specified. Under this section, the FTB is required to annually submit the list to this office.</p> <p>We have carefully evaluated your request and have come to the conclusion that we cannot provide you with the list you have requested, as it contains confidential taxpayer information, the disclosure of which is prohibited under state law. As such, the list is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)</p> <p>Specifically, pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 19542, except for reasons not applicable to your request, "it is a misdemeanor for the Franchise Tax Board or any member thereof, or any deputy, agent, clerk, or other officer or employee of the state ... , who in the course of his or her employment or duty has or had access to returns, reports, or documents required to be filed [with the FTB] under this part, to disclose or make known in any manner information as to the amount of income or any particulars (including the business affairs of a corporation) set forth or disclosed therein." Similarly, pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code section 19552, information furnished to the FTB "shall be used solely for the purpose of administering the tax laws or other laws administered by the person or agency obtaining it. Any unwarranted disclosure or use of the information by the person or agency, or the employees and officers thereof, is a misdemeanor."</p> <p>With respect to the FTB list provided to the Attorney General's Office, a company's inclusion on the list necessarily means that the company has filed a tax return that states that the company had annual worldwide gross receipts that exceed one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000). (See Civ. Code, § 1714.43, subd. (a)(1); Rev. &amp; Tax. Code, § 19547.5, subd. (a)(1).) Thus, were the Attorney General to publicly disclose the list in response to a Public Records Act request, the Attorney General would be disclosing those companies with receipts exceeding \$100 million, income information derived from the companies' tax returns. As such, in the absence of any authorization for the Attorney General to make the list public, Revenue and Taxation Code sections 19542 and 19552 preclude the Attorney General from disclosing the names of the companies on the list.</p> <p>Thank you for your interest in the Act and for contacting our office.</p>
PRA-2018-02512	Angell (PRA Logs)	Ursula	12/17/2018	<p>A log of all Public Records requests made to the California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General from Jan. 1, 2011 to the date this request is processed. The log should include, at a minimum, the date the request was made, the agency or individual who made the request, a summary of records sought, and whether the records were released or not.</p>
				<p>Rolling Production</p> <p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>January 28, 2019 response: On December 27, 2018, we produced logs dated January 1, 2011 through July 31, 2018 to you via U.S. mail. Attached, please find copies of our logs dated August 1, 2018 through October 31, 2018.</p> <p>We are in the process of generating logs for November 1, 2018, through the date of your request. At this point, we anticipate that this process will take a minimum of 12 weeks to complete. Accordingly, we will produce the logs from November 2018 through December 2018 on a rolling basis, as they are completed.</p> <p>December 27, 2018 response: We are sending the logs dated January 1, 2011 through July 31, 2018 to you via U.S. mail as the files are too voluminous to e-mail to you. We will enclose a copy of this e-mail with the discs. We are in the process of generating logs for August 1, 2018,</p>

				through the date of your request. At this point, we anticipate that this process will take a minimum of 12 weeks to complete. Accordingly, we will produce the logs from August 2018 through December 2018 on a rolling basis, as they are completed.
PRA-2018-02513	Angell (DOJ Sexual Harassment Complaint 2011 to present)	Ursula	12/17/2018	<p>1. All records of sexual harassment or assault complaints that name Attorney General Kamala Harris or any members of her senior executive team as parties to any of the allegations contained within the complaint, from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>2. The total number of sexual harassment or assault complaints filed against employees of the California Department of Justice (or consultants and/or appointees conducting business on behalf of the Department of Justice when the alleged behavior occurred), from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>3. The total number of subsequent settlements of those complaints, from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>4. The date settled, date paid, and total dollar amount of each individual settlement of those complaints, from 2011 to the present.</p> <p>Please note that in all instances in this request the phrase "sexual harassment or assault complaints" should be understood to mean ANY complaints that include allegations of sexual harassment or sexual assault, in any of the following forms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allegations of aggravated, intolerable and hostile working environment due to sexually offensive behavior, conduct or statements.</li> <li>• Allegations of sexually harassing behavior, conduct or statements</li> <li>• Allegations of sexual assault</li> <li>• Allegations of failure to prevent sexual harassment or assault (e.g. by a supervisor or other individual or group of individuals that had been notified of the issue)</li> <li>• Allegations of retaliatory treatment subsequent to complaints of sexually harassing or assaultive behavior, conduct or statements.</li> <li>• Copies of claims filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) that include allegations of sexually harassing behavior, conduct or statements; as well as any subsequent right-to-sue letters issued by the DFEH.</li> <li>• Copies of claims filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) that include allegations of sexually harassing behavior, conduct or statements; as well as any subsequent right-to-sue letters issued by the EEOC.</li> <li>• Copies of claims filed with the State Personnel Board (SPB) that include allegations of sexually harassing behavior, conduct or statements; as well as any subsequent letters or decisions issued by the SPB.</li> </ul> <p>I agree to pay all fees related to this request. So long as it does not delay production, I would prefer this request be fulfilled electronically, by e-mail attachment or over FTP (attachments and/or FTP links may be sent to <a href="mailto:ursula.external@gmail.com">ursula.external@gmail.com</a>) or as a CD-ROM if not. If electronic fulfillment is not possible, paper records will be fine; please mail them to me at 5045 Vincent Avenue, Los Angeles CA 90041.</p> <p>If there is any further information I can provide to expedite this request or make it easier to respond to, do not hesitate to ask; the best way to reach me is via email or phone at the addresses listed above. Thank you very much for your help.</p>

PRA-02514	Dave (CCPA)	Parekh (Media) 018	12/17/2	Digital, machine-readable copies transmitted via email or online file sharing of the following: -Conversations on email or online instant message to or from Attorney General Becerra referencing "CCPA" or "privacy act" or "privacy law" or "privacy bill" from September 1, 2018 through the date this search is conducted. -Conversations on email or online instant message to or from Supervising Deputy Attorney General Stacey Schesner referencing "CCPA" or "privacy act" or "privacy law" or "privacy bill" from September 1, 2018 through the date this search is conducted. -Meeting notes produced from September 1, 2018 through the date this search is conducted that mention "CCPA" or "California Consumer Privacy Act". -Feasibility assessments, implementation planning documents or analytical reports from September 1, 2018 through the date this search is conducted that focus on the California Consumer Privacy Act of 2018. For newgathering purposes	Completed	Micah Osgood	<p>January 10, 2019 response: By letter dated December 21, 2018, this Office extended its deadline to respond to your request to January 10, 2019.</p> <p>While this Office will produce records in response to your request, we must decline your request as to certain documents for several reasons. First, the terms of your request cover confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (t), expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to and investigations conducted by the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. Investigative records do not lose their exempt status after conclusion of the investigation. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.)</p> <p>Second, your request encompasses documents exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine or the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, and the work product exception contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, are incorporated into the Public Records Act as exemptions from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Third, your request covers documents exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion toward and within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to make informed decisions. (Labor &amp; Workforce Dev. Agency v. Superior Court (2018) 19 Cal.App.5th 12, 30.) Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or if it is inextricably intertwined with the policy- or decision-making process. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>Fourth, some of the records responsive to your request contain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information or information about personnel matters. This information is protected from disclosure. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Finally, the request imposes an unreasonable burden. For example, your proposed search terms "privacy law" and "privacy act" encompass a large number of records unrelated to the California Consumer Privacy Act, including emails containing a standard confidentiality notice. The expense and burden of reviewing and identifying all communications responsive to these search terms to determine if they are related to the CCPA is not in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union</p>

				Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Accordingly, we modified the search terms to include "AB 375" and "SB 1121" to more reasonably narrow the search for CCPA-related communications. The vast majority of responsive records using the modified criteria are exempt from disclosure for the reasons noted in the preceding paragraphs. Enclosed are non-exempt documents that are responsive to your request, stamped AG001 through AG0517. Where appropriate, we have redacted exempt information when a document also contained non-exempt, responsive information. Per your request, we have provided these records in electronic form. On December 21, 2018, Micah Osgood sent an extension letter.
PRA-2018-02517	Blamey (Ronald Blamey)	Sophia 018	Completed	<p>Transcripts of the trial of Ronald D. Blamey in the death of Michiel Blamey that began in March, 2001. If the transcripts are not available, was there a contracted court reporter with publicly available contact information?</p>
PRA-2018-02518	Powers (server logs)	Annie 018	Completed	<p>I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain server logs from the following websites on the following dates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) 4chan.org/pol/ between October 28, 2017 and November 30, 2017</li> <li>b) 8ch.net/pol/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</li> <li>c) 8ch.net/cbts/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</li> <li>d) 8ch.net/thestorm/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</li> <li>e) 8ch.net/patriotsfight/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</li> <li>f) 8ch.net/greatawakening/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</li> <li>g) 8ch.net/qresearch/ between November 25, 2017 and the present day</li> </ul> <p>We are interested in obtaining information pertaining to a user called "Q," in particular, IP addresses, server logs, and deleted posts associated with said user. This should include instances where individuals attempted to login as "Q" and/or post as "Q."</p>

<p>Q has posted on 4chan.org and 8chan.net implying that he possesses Q-level government clearance and has direct access to President Donald Trump. Q claims to divulge privileged information he receives from Trump to his online readers, informing them of the president's current activities and future plans, including military arrests of prominent officials. Q has also instructed his audience to vote Republican in the midterm elections and actively endorsed Republican candidates. If Q is a government employee with the clearance level and presidential access he claims, then this is a clear violation of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§ 7321-26), which prohibits government employees from influencing or attempting to influence the outcome of elections. For reference, Q's public posts have been archived on qanon.pub.</p>	<p>Hope all is well. Is it possible to run this FOIA request* again, between 7/19/2018 and 12/17/2018?</p> <p>*References this request from July 19, 2018: Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, we wish to obtain a record of any communications between the California DOJ/OAG and Concept Pharmaceuticals from 1/1/2016 to 7/19/2018, related to the promotion of Korlym (mifepristone), which is approved by the FDA for the treatment of hyperglycemia secondary to hypercortisolism in adult patients with endogenous Cushing's syndrome.</p>	<p>May I please receive a copy of my sentencing transcripts? LASC# GA030829. Also, 2nd Appellate District The People v. Ladmirault Division 4 Atty. J. Roake Case Number B115959. Ladmirault (Leon A.) On H.C. Case Number S087308.</p>	<p>Completed</p>	<p>Erin Nickless</p>	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places for the new dates that you provided, and consulted with knowledgeable persons. We have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p>
<p>PRA-2018-02566</p>	<p>Basheer 12/17/2018</p>	<p>Completed</p>	<p>Irene Chavez</p>	<p>As per your records request, enclosed please find copies of the Reporters Transcripts Vol. 2 of 2, pages 421-441 from the sentencing hearing 8/28/97 in People v. Leon Anthony Ladmirault, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. GA030829.</p>	
<p>PRA-2018-02598</p>	<p>Leon Anthony 12/17/2018</p>	<p>Completed</p>	<p>Irene Chavez</p>	<p>Thank you for your time and consideration in the above-matter. We will consider this request for records a closed matter.</p>	
<p>PRA-2018-02521</p>	<p>Elizabeth Tinsman (sex offender) 12/18/2018</p>	<p>Completed</p>	<p>Brian Segal</p>	<p>An Extension was sent on 12/27/18.</p>	
<p>PRA-2018-02521</p>	<p>Amber Mejias also known as Anvarr Mejias has applied to work at Twin State Technical Services, I am the company general manager. There is no doubt that there is a California mugshot has her information and image on it, and her resume aligns with dates and her California residency. We want to give everyone the benefit of the doubt. We find an online record that she is also known as Anvarr Mejias of California. She now lives in East Moline/Moline/Rock Island area, specifically Moline. In doing a routine check, we see she has possibly violated (Offense and Court Details) Statute: 647.6(a)(1) Offense: ANNOY OR MOLEST A CHILD UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE.</p>	<p>Completed</p>	<p>Completed</p>	<p>Unfortunately, we must deny your request. First, criminal history records are not subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act. In California, state and local summary criminal history information is confidential and access is strictly regulated by statute. California Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the DOJ to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, to certain employers or regulatory agencies, or to the person who is the subject of the record. You are not considered an authorized employer or regulatory agency under California Penal Code section 11105, thus your request for criminal history information is denied. Second, without confirming or denying that the individual you named is a registered sex offender in California, most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) Additionally, the PRA expressly exempts from disclosure documents that are private in nature, as well as documents that are investigatory in nature. (Gov't Code §§ 6254, subds. (c) and (f).) To the extent your request seeks information that is confidential in nature, within the meaning of California Penal Code section 290.021 or other state statute, your request is denied. Information about obtaining California criminal history records by private employers and individuals can be found at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints">https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints</a>.</p>	

			Hair Color: Brown Eye Color: Brown Alias(es): Annair Mejias, Amber Mon ker Identifying Marks: ARM, RIGHT, NONSPECIFIC; HIP, LEFTSURGICAL; KNEE, LEFTUNKNOWN; Offense: ANNOY OR MOLEST A CHILD UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE			
PRA-2018-02522	rbrtpine@gmai l (Tuolumne Court Employees)	Anonymous	12/18/2 018	1) Foreign registration statement; 2) oath of office statement; 3) Anti bribery and corruption statement 4) Lobbying disclosure statement; for the foreign agent(s) Kevin M. Seibert acting for a foreign principal; SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF TUOLUMNE; And foreign agent Harvey W. Stein (Law offices of Harvey W. Stein a professional corporation) located Oakland City Center 1300 Clay street suite 1050, Oakland CA 94612. acting for the foreign principal The State Bar of California located at 180 Howard street San Francisco CA 94105. I man request a signed and dated copy of each of these public records as described 1)-4)	Completed  Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records from Tuolumne County or the California State Bar Association, you must submit your request to them directly.
PRA-2018-02523	Brown ( San Diego Metropolitan Transit System (records)	Jessica	12/18/2 018	All proposal documentation submitted by Innovations in Transportation Inc. (INIT), and Conduent Transport Solutions to San Diego Metropolitan Transit System in response to the agency Request for Proposals - Account Based Fare Collection System Project 0 MTS Doc. No. G2091.0-18. The proposal was issued on 3/16/18 and proposals were due 6/4/18. San Diego MTS board approved the INIT contract on 12/13/18.	Completed  Maria E. Silva	Called requester to obtain more information. Ms. Brown confirmed the requested records were in the custody and control of San Diego Metro Transit System.
PRA-2018-02524	Nelsen (common interest agreement)	Maxford	12/18/2 018	In accordance with the California Public Records Act, I'd like to submit the following request for public records on behalf of the Freedom Foundation. Specifically, I am seeking: 1. A copy of any common interest agreement involving (1) the Washington Office of the Attorney General, (2) the Oregon Department of Justice, (3) the California Department of Justice, (4) the Service Employees International Union (SEIU), (5) the American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), and (6) Altshuler Berzon LLP.	Completed  Anna Rich	We have reviewed your request and have determined that the records responsive to your requests are exempt from disclosure under the attorney work-product privilege and/or the pending litigation exemption. The following is an explanation of the exemptions that apply to the records we are withholding:  Attorney Work Product The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (K); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The documents responsive to your request include confidential communications containing legal advice and/or attorneys' impressions, conclusions, litigation strategy, or opinions. Accordingly, these confidential documents are exempt from disclosure.  Pending Litigation Exemption Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code., § 6254 subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the

				agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1996) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) The documents responsive to your request include documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. Accordingly, these confidential documents are exempt from disclosure.		
PRA-2018-02525	DiPietro (Robin Robles)	Amy (Desert Sun)	12/18/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act, I ask to obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency.</p> <p>1. All communications between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed – including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, scheduled events, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein – between Robin Robles, who was or is a part of the Department of Justice's Client Services Program, CLETS &amp; CORI Audit Section, and any of the following people or entities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any current or former member or members of the Board of Directors of the Eastern Riverside County Interoperable Communications Authority (hereafter, "ERICa"), including but not limited to the following people, who are or were affiliated with the cities indicated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Lloyd White, Beaumont</li> <li>o Michael Wilson, Indio</li> <li>o Scott Matas, Desert Hot Springs</li> <li>o Stan Henry, Cathedral City</li> <li>o Robert Moon, Palm Springs</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Any current or former member or members of the ERICa Technical Advisory Committee, including but not limited to the following people: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Chief Travis Walker, Cathedral City</li> <li>o City Manager David Ready, Palm Springs</li> <li>o Asst. City Manager Rob Rockwell, Indio</li> <li>o Lt. Greg Fagan, Beaumont</li> <li>o Chief Dale Mondary, Desert Hot Springs</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Kari Mendoza <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• William Hutchinson, Palm Springs Police Department</li> <li>• Any other personnel of the following agencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Palm Springs Police Department</li> <li>o Desert Hot Springs Police Department</li> <li>o Beaumont Police Department</li> <li>o Indio Police Department</li> <li>o Cathedral City Police Department</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>2. All communications between October 2017 and the date this records request is processed – including but not limited to emails, text messages, meeting minutes, meeting and event invitations, scheduled events, meeting notes, legal guidance, meeting agendas, recordings, marginalia and memorandum, as well as all associated attachments referenced therein – between personnel of the Department of Justice's Client Services Program, CLETS &amp; CORI Audit Section, and Kari Mendoza.</p>	Completed	Jainie Tackett
PRA-2018-02526	Mai-Duc (EERR records - Danielle Hartley)	Christin e (LA Times)	12/18/2018	"A list of approved settlements involving Lawrence "Larry" Wallace for the period between Oct 1994 and Dec. 2005, including copies of the settlement agreements, the allegation made and amount paid. A list of Public Records Act requests made to the DOJ since July 1, 2018, both pending and fulfilled, including the name	Completed	Milad Daju

of the person organization requesting, a description of the specific records being requested, the date requested and status and/or date fulfilled, and the number of pages provided in the fulfilled request."

about January 30, 2019.  
Our response to each category of records you requested are as follows.  
Category 1: A list of approved settlements involving Lawrence "Larry" Wallace for the period between Oct 1994 and Dec. 2005, including copies of the settlement agreements, the allegation made and amount paid. We found no records responsive to this request.

Category 2: A list of Public Records Act requests made to the DOJ since July 1, 2018, both pending and fulfilled, including the name of the person organization requesting, a description of the specific records being requested, the date requested and status and/or date fulfilled, and the number of pages provided in the fulfilled request.

Attached are all records responsive to this request, including the California Department of Justice's logs for CPRA requests received in July, August, September, and October 2018. We have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) At this time, we do not have a list of CPRA request received in November or December 2018, or January 2019. This completes our response to your request.

On January 7, 2019, Public Records responded as follows:  
As a preliminary matter, the Department's Carry Concealed Weapons (CCWs) system only gives point-in-time data. This means it can only give a picture of the data as it appears in the system on the day a report is run, and the Department could not produce a report with a full, all-inclusive picture of all transactions since 2014. As such, to the extent we could provide you information—which, as explained below, would contain no identifying information—we could only tell you what appeared in the system on the day a report was run. As a result, if information regarding an application or permit holder was entered and subsequently changed before a report was run, the report will only capture the changed version of the data for that application. In cases where a record of a permit holder was removed as a result of expiration or denial, the report will not capture that entry. As a result, previous versions of entries that have since been changed or removed from our system would not appear in a report we would run. For example, if a license was reflected in the system on January 1, 2016, then shortly thereafter expired and, as a result, later removed from our system, that license would not be captured if we ran the current numbers.  
Additionally, while Penal Code section 26225 requires law enforcement agencies to send copies of approvals and denials of CCW permits to the Department, the Department has encountered inconsistent reporting by issuing agencies, and the actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level.  
Finally, to the extent the Department might have information available to it with which to compile a response to your request, the information would be provided to the Department pursuant to Penal Code section 26225. The Department is only authorized to provide personal information obtained pursuant to Penal Code section 26225 to certain people or entities in order "to assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of civil actions by city attorneys ... , the arrest and prosecution of criminals, and the recovery of lost, stolen, or found property." (Pen. Code, § 11106, subd. (a)(1)(C).) Members of the general public making Public Records Act requests are not on the list of entities or persons who can be given that information. (See Pen. Code, §§ 11105 & 11106, subd. (a)(1)-(2).) Though it appears you are an investigator from a district attorney's office, you submitted your request as a Public Records Act request, and we make all Public Records Act response determinations without consideration of the identity of the requestor. Put differently, we respond to Public Records Act

PRA-2018-0229  
Hyland (CCWs issued by Santa Clara Sheriff)  
Lacy  
12/18/2018  
CCW (Concealed Carry Weapon) Permits issued by the Santa Clara County Sheriff's Office within a five year period (2014-2018). I would like the names and identifiers of each individual whom a permit was issued, as well as the date the permit was issued.

PRA-2018-02033	Crittie-Martin	ShaQuille	12/19/2018	From 2012 to present if possible please and thank you for your time	<p>Completed</p> <p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.</p> <p>In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible.</p> <p>Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Providing the actual name or title of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record.</li> <li>-Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record.</li> <li>-Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created.</li> <li>-If related to a case, providing the case name or number.</li> <li>-Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record.</li> <li>-Indicating the city in which the record was created.</li> </ul> <p>Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed by contacting the Record Review Unit at:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Record Review Unit P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento, CA 94203-4170</p>	<p>Erin Nickless</p>
PRA-2018-02527	McDonald	(3rd Party records)	Tony	12/19/2018	<p>All records Comments: Jennifer miller alias Jennifer thomas and Todd Thomas, tamara thomas, justin thomas address [REDACTED] asap they kidnapped my daughter thru the courts both females on exclusion list of mississippi .defrauding ca with fathers child .no job stealing SSI and soc sec mine and living for free on ca</p>	<p>Completed</p> <p>You appear to be seeking unspecifed records related to third parties. Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish</p>



				request is received.				
PRA-2018-02531	Archiga (Victim of shooting - update)	Lisa	12/20/2018	i would like an update regarding an indecent that i became a victim, on 12/10/2016 at clay ave and franklin st in south east sd a shooting happen and i was hit in my thigh. the incident # is 16050252/1612016102107# that is all i have , i am still recovering from my injury so if there is any info on this case please provide thank u	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c)) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	
PRA-2018-02532	Alarcon (Red River Proposal)	Clara	12/20/2018	We have a FOIA request on the Red River Proposal. (RFO 17-025) Please forward this information as soon as possible.	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requester to obtain more information due to request stating they had a request. Requester confirmed the intent was to sent the request to the State Controller.	
PRA-2018-02533	White (DOT settlement)	Clarise	12/20/2018	I am looking for any public records for a civil settlement case that my lawyer and I made against the LA Department of Transportation. I have not yet received settlement funds, so I am hoping that public records exist so that I might be able to track down the settlement funds.	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requester to obtain more information and it was discovered the records were not in custody or control of DOJ/AG, they were records of the Department of Transportation.	
PRA-2018-02534	Dillard	Kevin	12/20/2018	MA050595 9AV03266	Completed	Maria E. Silva	Called requester to obtain more information on case numbers. He confirmed he was seeking his criminal records. I explained he need to go through a finger print process and provided instructions on how to obtain.	
PRA-2018-02535	Baron	Noah	12/20/2018	I seek the following records, to the extent such records are in your custody, possession, or control: • All documents related to Sergeant Patrick M. Tobin of the San Francisco Police Department. • All documents related to Sergeant Lawrence McDevitt of the San Francisco Police Department. • All documents related to any investigation(s) by the State of California into the 2010 incident of a “series of racist, sexist and homophobic text messages” that were “found to have been shared among a group of SFPD officers,” as referenced in the Collaborative Reform Initiative: An Assessment of San Francisco Police Department report by the United States Department of Justice (“DOJ Report” at page ix, available at <a href="https://ric-zai-inc.com/Publications/cops-w0817-pub.pdf">https://ric-zai-inc.com/Publications/cops-w0817-pub.pdf</a> . • All documents related to any investigation(s) by the State of California into the “similar incident made public in early 2016,” as referenced in the DOJ Report at page ix. • All documents related to any investigation(s) by the State of California into the San Francisco Police Department or any office, employee, or agent thereof, pertaining to any incident(s) of assault, discrimination, or harassment on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity, from 2010 until present, by SFPD officers or on against other SFPD officers. • All documents related to any investigation(s) or report(s) by the State of California relating to the San Francisco Police Department or any office, employee, or agent thereof, pertaining to incidents of anti-LGBT (lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender) prejudice, harassment, or discrimination.	Completed	Nancy Beninati	January 14, 2019 response: Your public records act request references the review and report conducted by the U.S. Department of Justice (US DOJ), Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS). For purposes of this response, we would like to clarify our office's role with respect to our Memorandum of Understanding with the San Francisco Police Department. In October 2016, the US DOJ issued a report that included 272 recommendations to help the San Francisco Police Department improve its policies and practices in the areas of use of force, bias, community policing, accountability, recruitment, hiring and personnel matters. Subsequently, the US DOJ informed the San Francisco Police Department that it was terminating its collaborative reform partnership to provide technical assistance in implementing those 272 recommendations. Subsequently, on February 5, 2018, this office entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Police Department for the sole purpose of evaluating and reporting on the San Francisco Police Departments implementation of the 272 US DOJ recommendations following the US DOJ COPS collaborative reform process. Given this context, this office does not have any responsive records. On January 3, 2019, Public Records sent an extension letter.	
PRA-2018-02536	Abdelmalak (Accusations )	Menna	12/20/2018	Name of Licensees who the Board have filed Accusations against them within the last 10 days from the following Boards: 1) Board of Behavioral Sciences 2) Board of Occupational Therapy	Completed	Molly E. Selway	In response, we are providing a list of the names of the licensees that had Accusations filed against them by the above referenced Boards between December 10, 2018, and December 20, 2018. We note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but	

			3) Physical Therapy Board 4) Board of Psychology 5) Board of Registered Nursing 6) Respiratory Care Board 7) Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology and Hearing Aid Dispensers 8) Veterinary Medical Board 9) Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians		may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Boards listed above. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
PRA-2018-02537	McGreevy (7/114-1/10/17 Communications)	Patrick - LA Times	12/20/2018 Pursuant to provisions of the California Public Records Act, please provide us with copies of all written communication, including emails, sent to the Department of Justice officials listed below from July 1, 2014 to Jan. 10, 2017 regarding allegations by Danielle Hartley that she was harassed or discriminated against by Larry Wallace: --Attorney General Kamala Harris. --Chief Deputy Attorney General Nathan Barankin. --General Counsel Suzy Loftus. --Assistant Attorney General Venus Johnson --Chief of Policy Daniel Suvor.	Completed Milad Da ju	Your request was received on December 20, 2018. On December 31, 2018, this office notified you by letter that it was extending the deadline for responding to your request by 14 days. On January 14, 2019, we notified you by letter that we needed more time to complete our search for responsive records and that we would provide you an update by January 30, 2019. We completed our search and found no records responsive to your request. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-02549	Choi	Stella	12/20/2018 I hope to be a happy Christmas .. I would be very grateful if you could read my e-mail and issue me a divorce certificate now.	Completed Maria E. Silva	Maria called the requester and provided info on where to obtain the record.
PRA-2018-02591	Aly	Jenny	12/20/2018 The case numbers are provided below parties names on both the DV and dissolution are: Jenny Cisneros Adrian Cisneros Both cases occurred in 1996. Please respond with any information or where I can go to obtain these records.	Completed Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to cases, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the cases were filed.
PRA-2018-02538	Blutstein (Steyer & Holder records)	Allan (American Rising)	12/21/2018 Records reflecting any meetings or telephone calls between the Attorney General and Tom Steyer or former U.S. Attorney General Eric Holder from June 1, 2018, through December 21, 2018.	Completed Gisele Rainer	On January 14, 2019, Gisele Rainer responded: As for records reflecting meetings, we have attached to the email transmitting this letter the single record responsive to your request. Attorney General Becerra attended the meeting reflected in the record. With respect to records reflecting telephone calls, we have identified no responsive records. On December 31, 2018, Gisele Rainer sent an extension letter.
PRA-2018-02539	Watson (Criminal Report)	James	12/21/2018 Shasta County Sheriff's Office criminal report where Todd Garr and Tom Mello are listed as involved parties. These records are held by Deputy Attorney General Cliff Zall. The release of records was previously discussed with DAG Zall. If they could be delivered in electronic format to jwatson@chp.ca.gov<mailto:jwatson@chp.ca.gov>. Any questions please call (916)843-3060.	Completed Michael Dolida	As a preliminary matter, we must deny your request under the California Public Records Act (PRA). The documents you seek are all part of the investigation file and thus, are expressly exempt from disclosure under the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f); Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) No time limit exists for this exemption, so it continues to apply even after the investigation at issue has concluded. (Williams v. Superior Court, <i>supra</i> , at p. 355.) However, the Attorney General's Office does have the authority to investigate criminal behavior and disseminate criminal records to other law enforcement agencies pursuant to Penal Code section 11050, et seq. As such, please find enclosed the Shasta County's "Integrated Public Safety Report, Officer report for Incident 18S037339" dated November 1, 2018.
PRA-2018-02540	Cline (JTH Tax Inc.)	Paul	12/21/2018 CGC-07-460778 The People of the State of California v. JTH Tax Inc (DBA Liberty Tax Services) Notice of Entry of Judgement Dated June 17, 2009 Judgement an Permanent Injunction Dated June 15, 2009 The injunction orders that the defendant notify the California Attorney General's Office, Consumer Law Section within one week of knowledge of a violation. How do I obtain records of the notifications that have been submitted to the Consumer Law Section of the California Attorney General's Office. I	Completed Amos Hartston	We respectfully decline your request. The records subject to your request would be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to and investigations conducted by the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f).) Any reports provided to our office for purposes of monitoring compliance with the June 2009 injunction, along with other documents in our investigative file, would be exempt from disclosure as confidential law enforcement investigation records.

			would like to review/obtain copies of these notification. If there have been no notifications, for various months/years, how can I know this?				
PRA-2018-02575	Olfati (Vivint)	Parvin	12/21/2018	I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, office, agency, officer, board, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between July 1, 2018 and the date of your response to this PRA/IPA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to any person known or believed to be providing alarm, camera, video camera, and/or security services at [REDACTED], including but not limited to Vivint, including but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of Vivint regarding Parvin Olfati and/or [REDACTED].</li> <li>• Communications that constitute, mention, refer to and/or relate to any activity regarding Vivint equipment at [REDACTED] or believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any tampering or disabling of any equipment, alarm, camera, video camera or other security equipment.</li> </ul>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-02541	Muniz (CalGang)	Ana	12/24/2018	I am writing to request information under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), for records pertaining to the CalGang database (i.e. CalGang system). I hereby request disclosure of certain records in your possession relating to the CalGang database obtained between January 1, 1998 and January 1, 2019. I request disclosure of records(1) that were prepared, received, transmitted, collected and/or maintained relating or referring to the following information. Please note that I am not interested in receiving any personally identifying information and would not oppose redactions meant to	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	January 23, 2019 response: The California Department of Justice (Department) has attached records responsive to your request, we have redacted sensitive information concerning security records of a public agency, of which disclosure would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for, an attack on an information technology system of a public agency. (Gov. Code § 6254.19.) Specifically, we have redacted: Internet Protocol, Transmission Control Protocol and User Datagram Protocol addresses; the volume of application traffic over the Department backbone; and the number of minutes of inactivity until a session would time out. The Department responds to requests with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or

local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. On January 3, 2019, Jamie Tackett sent an extension letter.

protect such information.

(1) The term "records" as used herein includes but is not limited to all records or communications preserved in electronic or written form, including but not limited to correspondence, documents, data, videotapes, audio tapes, faxes, files, e-mails, guidance, guidelines, evaluations, instructions, analyses, memoranda, agreements, notes, orders, policies, procedures, protocols, reports, rules, technical manuals, technical specifications, training manuals, or studies, including records kept in written form, or electronic format on computers and/or other electronic storage devices, electronic communications and/or video tapes.

I. Records Requested

I request all records containing, describing, pertaining to, or referring to any Memorandum of Understanding, established between January 1, 1998 and January 1, 2019, between an administrator of the CalGang database (including but not limited to the California Department of Justice, the CalGang Executive Board, and the California Gang Node Advisory Committee) and another agency, including but not limited to:

- California Department of Corrections
- California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
- Western States Information Network
- Regional Information Sharing Systems
- United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement
- United States Federal Bureau of Investigation
- Arizona Department of Public Safety
- United States Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives
- Internal Revenue Service Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Division
- United States Department of Homeland Security
- United States Customs and Border Protection
- Law enforcement agencies in Washington state
- Los Angeles Sheriff's Department
- Law enforcement agencies in New Mexico
- Law enforcement agencies in Texas
- Law enforcement agencies in Maryland
- Law enforcement agencies in Washington, DC
- Law enforcement agencies in Virginia
- Law enforcement agencies in Nevada
- Law enforcement agencies in California
- State divisions of Homeland Security

II. Waiver of All Costs

I request the preceding information for the purposes of academic research housed at the University of California, Irvine, a public university. Therefore, I request a waiver of all costs. If the fee waiver request is denied, while reserving my right to appeal the denial, I will pay fees up to \$50.00. If you estimate that the fees will exceed this limit, please inform me.

III. Request for Release of All Responsive Records

I believe that all of the records requested are subject to disclosure, and request prompt processing and release of the requested information. I also request a complete list of documents covered by the request. I expect that all records will be provided in complete form. To the extent that any requested records are marked classified, please redact only the necessary portions of those records and immediately provide me with the remaining portions. If any records are withheld, please state the exemption claimed and provide a

				list of the records being withheld. If you deny this request in whole or in part, please provide a written explanation for that denial, including reference to the specific statutory provisions upon which you rely, and notify me of appeal procedures available under the law.				
PRA-2018-02342	Phan (police reports)	Anh	12/24/2018	There was an arrest at a rental property that I owned at [REDACTED]. The department carrying out the arrest was TRAP in Encino, CA. The detective on the case gave me the report # 918-00778-3804-089. I am requesting a copy of the public records of the arrest and findings of investigation.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)	If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-02343	Weber (CDC No.)	Samantha	12/24/2018	I am writing to request a prisoner number or CDC identification number for Daniel Robert Branton, and his date of birth is September 15, 1979. I am writing for assistance in reestablishing contact with my friend and former coworker, Daniel Robert Branton, who recently self-surrendered to the authorities in November after numerous court appearances in the state of California. Mr. Branton believed that he was likely to be incarcerated at a low-security establishment, possibly working under supervision, and possibly in a different state. I wish to request his prisoner number or identifying information so that I may send him a letter.	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking to ascertain the location of an incarcerated individual, you would need to go through the County Sheriff's Department if they are in a local jail, or the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) if they are in a state prison. Information on locating inmates within the CDCR system can be found at <a href="http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/">http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/</a>	
PRA-2018-02344	Rodgers (police, toxicology reports)	Priscilla	12/24/2018	Austin Michael Matthew Reed 02/14/2000-10/14/2018 Oakley CA 10-14-18 Police and toxicology reports Austin was my favorite cousin, I'm hoping these reports can bring me closure.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The toxicology reports you seek, to the extent that they exist, are investigative files of the Attorney General that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f).	If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-02345	Buranek	Lori	12/24/2018	Wilbur T Rosine registered sex offender arrested in year 1993- crime 288(a) I'd like information regarding this case.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking court records, we have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. If you have additional information, such as the case number, please provide that to us. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You may be able to direct your request to the court in which the case was filed.	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.

If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at:

					http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. Since you mention that this information is related to a registered sex offender, you may wish to visit <a href="https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/">https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/</a> . This website provides information on registered sex offenders pursuant to California Penal Code § 290.46 so that members of the public can better protect themselves and their families. The information on this site is extracted from the California Sex and Arson Registry (CSAR), the State's repository for sex offender information. The information in the CSAR is provided to local law enforcement agencies by the sex offender during the registration process. Pursuant to Penal Code § 290.46, not all registered sex offenders are posted on this website. Click for more information on Megan's Law.
PRA-2018-02546	Montanez	Albert	12/24/2018	Completed	<p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>To the extent that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) has the information you seek, it would be maintained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS), which is a statewide database designed to store information identifying persons named in restraining orders and is accessible via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code§ 15152; Access to records relating to CLETS inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)).</p> <p>For this reason, we must deny your request.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking firearms restrictions regarding persons who have restraining orders, reviewing the FAQs for the Bureau of Firearms may help to answer additional questions. (Available at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/faqs">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/faqs</a>.) Furthermore, in order to determine if you are eligible to possess, receive, own, or purchase a firearm, you will need to submit to the DOJ a Personal Firearms Eligibility Check (PFEC) form, a copy of your California Driver License or Identification Card, and a check or money order for the fee of \$20. The application must be signed and notarized, and include an impression of your right thumbprint. If you are a non-U.S. citizen, you must also submit a copy of your Alien Registration or I-94 Card.</p> <p>For your convenience, a copy of the form you would use to make a request for a PFEC is included with this letter. For more information regarding this process, please see the Bureau of Firearms website, FAQs, Personal Firearms Eligibility Check Program. (Available at <a href="https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs">https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pfecfaqs</a>.)</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In this instance, you may want to request this information from the courts as these records are public.</p>
PRA-2018-02547	Gonzalez	Rocio	12/24/2018	Completed	<p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>We are construing your request to be for records that are related to you personally. Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request</p>

PRA-2018-02550	Cruz (unspecified)	Erika	12/24/2018	<p>Requesting to review public records. We denied a leasing agreement because of record.</p> <p>Requesting to review public records. We denied a leasing agreement because of record, and I want to know what came up on that record that impacted this decision.</p>	Completed	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request, as it is somewhat unspecific regarding the nature of documents that you are seeking. If you have additional information, please provide that to us. Based on the information provided, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHS/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHS/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>. We hope this information has been of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-02551	Borden (Media)	Jeremy (Damien PRA respons e)	12/24/2018	<p>The following should also be considered a request for information under California's Public Records Act. Please provide all responsive records, including correspondence to and from the requester, provided as a part of PRA 2018-01355 from Christopher Damien of the Desert Sun, which included, in part, the following response:</p> <p>We found two responsive submissions from January 1, 2018 to June 1, 2018:</p> <p>Return was filed in SBSW 18-1200 for the following property: "Subscr ber information, including the subscriber's names, addresses, identification presented when</p>	Completed	<p>Attached are the records responsive to your request.</p>

PRA-2018-02552	Yoon-Hendricks (SacBee)	Alexandra	12/24/2018	<p>I would like a copy of any records, investigations, reports or similar documents related to any alleged misconduct or behavior regarding senior accounting manager Lloyd Carter. That information has been redacted.</p>

				review will be completed on March 1, 2019. If additional records are withheld or redacted, we will provide an explanation for doing so as we have done here.
PRA-2018-02553	Pemberton (CDCR records)	Joel	12/24/2018	I was incarcerated (inmate #AG0105) 11/09/2010-06/16/2013 During that time I was a victim of identity theft, someone opened a bank account in my name sometime in the year 2012. The bank needs proof that I was incarcerated during that time. I just need confirmation of my release date. What ever documents you can provide will be helpful.  I was incarcerated in CRC Norco, California Inmate# AG0105. I currently reside out of state.
PRA-2018-02593	Ofalti	Parvin	12/24/2018	<p>I hereby request that the California Department of Justice (DOJ) produce to me any and all electronic mail messages, text messages, ,notes, communications, letters, memoranda, documents, records, and/or writings created, transmitted, received and/or stored on any DOJ department, division, Division of Law Enforcement, Deputy Attorney General, department, division, agency, officer, employee, agent, and/or representative computer or other medium on any date between December 1, 2015 and the date of your response to this PRA/PA request, that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any damage to or other activity in the roof of [REDACTED] that were created, transmitted, received and/or stored on or after December 1, 2015 through and including the date of your response to this PRA/PA request. The requested documents include but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communications to, from, and/or about any employee of the State of California Department of General Services, including but not limited to Jeff McGuire and/or Michael Charles Thomas.</li> <li>• Communications regarding Parvin Ofalti on any subject, including but not limited to mold damage at [REDACTED] and/or anything else, including the personal life of Parvin Ofalti.</li> <li>• Communications regarding [REDACTED]</li> <li>• Any communications, payments, emoluments and/or instructions to any person residing in the [REDACTED] block of [REDACTED] including but not limited to Barb Andres and Cecil Taylor of [REDACTED], June Lamoureaux and/or Bill Kellerhals of [REDACTED], John Lisuk and/or Mieke Smythe of [REDACTED], John Dambravas (now deceased) of [REDACTED], Helen Vathis and/or Martin or Petros Raygoza of [REDACTED] and/or Steve Maviglio of [REDACTED]</li> <li>• Communications to, from, with and/or about John Anthony Thiella.</li> <li>• Any recommendation for any person or entity that is related to any monitoring, surveillance, poisoning, mold placement, or any other activity directed at Parvin Ofalti and/or [REDACTED]</li> <li>• Any communications to, from, with, and/or about any person performing work or services at [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED]</li> <li>• Any and all communication with [REDACTED] residents Charles Schock and/or Pam Schock, any</li> </ul>

				residents of [REDACTED] any residents or renters of [REDACTED] and/or any residents or renters of [REDACTED] and/or [REDACTED] Any and all communications with "T" Street residents Anne and/or Christopher and/or her friend Pino of [REDACTED] Kathryn Sweeney and/or Ben Wheeler of [REDACTED]				
PRA-2018-02554	Gonzalez	Manuel	12/26/2018	Lawsuit case number information	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:  -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. Absent a request for representation by another state agency, the DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.	
PRA-2018-02555	Mirehin	Aref	12/26/2018	I would like to request records of all publicly available information on AREF MREHIN.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .	
PRA-2018-02556	Lopez	Ellisafat	12/26/2018	Request a copy of DOJ records	Completed	Maria E. Silva	We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request, as it is somewhat unspecific regarding the nature of documents that you are seeking. If you have additional information, please provide that to us.	

				In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> . We hope this information has been of assistance.
PRA-2018-02558	Sharma (court, vital records)	Dhiren	12/26/2018	<p>Completed</p> <p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, and/or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHS/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHS/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a>. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a local court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-02561	Wang (probation data - companies)	Fei	12/26/2018	<p>Completed</p> <p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>2. Latest court case filed re: personal injury attorney Sassan MacKay of Commerce and Anaheim, CA. Will appreciate having case citation, disposition, and status. Information needed in connection with law suit filed by his interpreter for unpaid fees in Small Claims in which Mr. Toor was personally injured in car accident. Hearing on Jan 8, 2019.</p>
PRA-2018-02562	Wang (probation data - racial composition)	Fei	12/26/2018	<p>Completed</p> <p>Jaimie Tackett</p> <p>I am looking for county level adult probation data, more specifically, which counties are using private probation companies to supervise adult felony and misdemeanor probation, between 2003 and 2017. Thank you.</p>
PRA-2018-02576	Hylton (PRA-communications)	Richard	12/26/2018	<p>Completed</p> <p>Erin Nickless</p> <p>I am looking for county level adult probation data, more specifically, the racial composition of probationers, as well as the racial composition of probation officers at the county level between 2003 and 2017. Thank you.</p>
				<p>The California Department of Justice (Department) does not have responsive records as we do not collect this information. All of our adult probation and criminal justice personnel data can be found on the AG's OpenJustice website, here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a>. The Department responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
				<p>The California Department of Justice (Department) does not have responsive records as we do not collect personal identifying information of adult probationers or probation officers. All of our adult probation and criminal justice personnel data can be found on the AG's OpenJustice website, here: <a href="https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data">https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/data</a>. The Department responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
				<p>We have conducted a diligent search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places, knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records.</p> <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Since you are seeking referrals generated by the San Diego County Sheriff's Department, you would need to direct your request to their office. It is possible that the referral mentioned in your request is sent to the requester, rather than to DOJ directly. When the DOJ Public Records Coordinator refers an individual to another agency, we contact the requester only. We do not send any referral to the agency to which they are being referred. You would need to confirm with San Diego County Sheriff's Department to determine if that is also their practice, as we are unfamiliar with their specific process for handling PRA requests.</p>

PRA-2018-02559	California Gaming Association (Rules and Regulations)	Person	12/27/2018	<p>This letter is to request access to records in the possession of the California Department of Justice ("DOJ"), including but not limited to the Bureau of Gambling Control, for the purpose of inspection and copying pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.) and Article I, Section 3 of the California Constitution. The information that we ask to inspect is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any and all records and communications in the possession of the DOJ pertaining to the play of craps and roulette, including but not limited to the rules, regulation, approval, and advisories of same or similar games, at tribal casinos in California.</li> </ul>	<p>Completed</p> <p>Paras Hirshikesh Modha</p>	<p>On January 18, 2019, Paras Modha sent a response: Please find attached some of the Department's records that are responsive to your request. Most of the Department's records that you are seeking are withheld because they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to one or more of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act, the records of the Bureau of Gambling Control, a subdivision within the Department, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.</li> <li>2. Subdivision (c) of section 7.4. of the 1999 Tribal-State class III gaming compacts between the State and Indian tribe with casinos in California similarly provides that records received from the tribes or information compiled by the state gaming agency from those records shall be exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (&lt;<a href="http://www.cgc.ca.gov/?pageID=compacts">http://www.cgc.ca.gov/?pageID=compacts</a>&gt;). Tribal-State Compacts executed and ratified after the 1999 compacts contain similar provisions. Your request for disclosure of these records is therefore denied.</li> <li>3. Additionally, your request also encompasses records that are exempt from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product doctrine. The attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 protects confidential communications between an attorney and the client, including confidential communications between an attorney within the Attorney General's Office and the attorney's clients. The attorney work product doctrine protects from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, and exempts from disclosure records covered by the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) In addition to the attached disclosure, I also want to make you aware that the Bureau of Gambling Control has made all of its Tribal Casino Advisories available to the public at the following link: &lt;<a href="https://oag.ca.gov/gambling/advisories">https://oag.ca.gov/gambling/advisories</a>&gt;.</li> </ol> <p>On January 7, 2019, Paras Modha sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-02560	California Gaming Association (PRA Requests)	Person	12/27/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All Public Records Act requests made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) and tribal casinos. Please include the identity of the requestor as well as the responses provided by the DOJ;</li> <li>• All requests, inquiries and complaints made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to the advertising at licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) and tribal casinos. Please include the identity of the requestor/complainant as well as the responses provided by the DOJ.</li> <li>• All complaints made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to DOJ-approved blackjack-style games at either licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) or tribal</li> </ul>	<p>Completed</p> <p>Paras Hirshikesh Modha</p>	<p>On January 18, 2019, Paras Modha responded: Please find attached some of the Department's records that are responsive to your request. Most of the Department's records that you are seeking are withheld because they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to one or more of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act, the records of the Bureau of Gambling Control, a subdivision within the Department, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.</li> </ol>
PRA-2018-02560	California Gaming Association (PRA Requests)	Person	12/27/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All Public Records Act requests made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) and tribal casinos. Please include the identity of the requestor as well as the responses provided by the DOJ;</li> <li>• All requests, inquiries and complaints made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to the advertising at licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) and tribal casinos. Please include the identity of the requestor/complainant as well as the responses provided by the DOJ.</li> <li>• All complaints made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to DOJ-approved blackjack-style games at either licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) or tribal</li> </ul>	<p>Completed</p> <p>Paras Hirshikesh Modha</p>	<p>On January 18, 2019, Paras Modha responded: Please find attached some of the Department's records that are responsive to your request. Most of the Department's records that you are seeking are withheld because they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to one or more of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act, the records of the Bureau of Gambling Control, a subdivision within the Department, are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. &amp; Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.</li> </ol>

		<p>casinos. Please include the identity of the complainant/requestor as well as the responses provided by the DOJ.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All complaints made to the DOJ in the last five years pertaining to DOJ-approved player-dealer games at either licensed gambling establishments (cardrooms) or tribal casinos. Please include the identity of the complainant/requestor as well as the responses provided by the DOJ.</li> </ul>		
PRA-2018-02663	Mantegazza (3rd Party Records)	Ivana	12/27/2018	<p>Complete copies of any and all records regarding Lindsay B. Webber</p> <p>I am requesting this on behalf of an attorney's office, Mitrani Rynor Adamsky &amp; Toland, from Florida</p>

				obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-02564	Cerimovic (3rd Party Records)	Alma	12/27/2018	<p>Police Reports, 911 Calls, Case Filings and anything else associated with this individual: Augustine M. Flores DOB: [REDACTED]</p> <p>You are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call and related police reports. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking court records, you should direct your request to the court in which the case was filed. For police reports and 9-11 calls, you would need to contact the local department that responded to the call and issued the reports that you are seeking.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a>.</p>
PRA-2018-02565	Heaven (City Clerk records)	Ebony	12/27/2018	<p>We wish to obtain the current City Clerk's current, actual annual salary, the length of time they've held the City Clerk title and their total time in service.</p>
PRA-2018-02567	Yaralian (property records)	Sevag	12/27/2018	<p>Owner of record information for property located at [REDACTED] Please provide current contact information if available.</p>
PRA-2018-02568	Inauen (name change)	Margret	12/27/2018	<p>certificate of name change. I changed my name when I became a citizen on October 28, 2005 name change was granted October 28 2005 it was changed to -matorel beatrix inauen - address: [REDACTED]</p> <p>I need a new certified copy for the swiss consulate.</p>

PRA-2018-02569	Baliucki j	Denis	12/28/2018	Can you confirm or deny the fact that Appko Inc is a registered California company which address [REDACTED]  Thank you. Please note that I am not a citizen of the USA neither resident of the USA	Completed	Erin Nickless	that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a legal name change, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the name change was filed.
PRA-2018-02570	Pavloff	Melia	12/28/2018	Hi, I was married internationally (legally) to a non-U.S. citizen, and we would like to officially register our marriage as public record in CA, where I was born, raised, and lived. How do we do this? Thanks! Melia Pavloff Hejazi	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the registration status of a company, you may wish to contact the California Secretary of State's Office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at <a href="http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/">http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/</a> .
PRA-2018-02571	Mcdonald	Tony	12/28/2018	Any and all records I've been in a parental kidnapping names to follow todd r thomas or todd m thomas wife tamara thomas ,justin thomas jennifer miller aka jennifer Thomas need this Daughter kidnapped 4 times in court ,warrants ,bench warrants anything u can send court records address theirs [REDACTED]  thank u	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, and/or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHS/I/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHS/I/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .
PRA-2018-02572	Stamboni	Richard	12/28/2018	Unsure about date. Case number CN375769 I'm trying to find out about what happened between Eric York Cruz and his parents. I have his social and ID number of that would help	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PRA-2018-02573	Walsh BGC applications	Benjami n	12/28/2018	I respectfully request all documents, applications, approvals and records regarding or referencing Magnolia House Casino and Rancho's Club since July 1, 2018. Including but not limited to:  License applications (including Key Employee transfer applications), applications for game approvals, applications for promotion approvals. Additionally, I request all applications filed by Shaun Yaple with the Bureau of Gambling Control within the past 2 years.	Completed	Riann Kopchak	The Gambling Control Act provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c.) Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), further specifies that it is unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. In accordance with California Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivisions (b) and (c.), subject to the redactions detailed below, please find enclosed a copy of the following record: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Application for Gambling Establishment Key Employee License, Shaun L. Yaple, Applicant, received November 27, 2017.</li></ul> The license application required redactions of the following personal information that is exempt from disclosure: residence address, home and

PRA-2018-02574	Sehgal (RFO bids)	Sanjeev Sehgal 12/28/2018	SVP Sales	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>On January 22, 2019, Jaimie Tackett responded: In regard to items (1) and (2), please find attached responsive records. Note, we have redacted personally identifiable information such as home addresses, cell phone numbers, and personal email addresses contained in this record, based on considerations of personal privacy.</p> <p>(Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the PRA by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) Specifically, the request seeks information concerning the specified proposal, and does not seek personal information; balancing the records requested and the purpose for such request against the need to protect the privacy of persons whose records were included in this record, the office has redacted any personal information because the need for privacy outweighs the need for disclosure.</p> <p>In regard to item (3), the California Department of Justice does not have responsive records.</p> <p>On January 7, 2019, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.</p>	
PRA-2018-02577	Ferreira	William	Department of Justice RFO 18-101 SB 384 CSAR Technology Refresh. We are requesting for the following: • Evaluation Matrix for our bid and its comparison with the winning proposal • Copy of the winning proposal • Debrief where we scored lower than the winning vendor and how we could improve in our response	12/28/2018	Completed	Molly E. Selway	<p>In response, we are providing a list of the Accusations served between December 1, 2018, and January 3, 2019. Please note that the Department of Justice does not file the Accusation and therefore we can only provide a list of the served Accusations.</p> <p>At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Bureau of Automotive Repair. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-02597	Windley (complaints)	Jake	All Accusations filed by the Attorney General's Office on behalf of the Bureau of Automotive Repair from December 1, 2018 through December 28, 2018.	12/28/2018	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records, we must decline your request. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>

PRA-2018-02579	Rose	Laura	12/31/2018	Hi there, thank you for the response. This request is in regards to my personal criminal records, i paid for a DOJ background check for a job around 3 years ago, how would i go about requesting a copy of that?	Completed	Erin Nickless	As stated in my previous e-mail, information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-02580	Mauck	Jesse	12/31/2018	I Jesse Mauck would like to request all open cases local and federal including active warrants and debts regarding my public profile in the attempt to close all cases to move on with my life and working for the U.S. Federal government. I Jesse Mauck am in good faith looking to resolve any past issues with the U.S. Government	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, for financial records, you would need to contact the financial institution which generated the records. For court records, you may wish to contact the local court.
							In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-02581	Rodriguez	Cyd	12/31/2018	Any and all public records pertaining to, mentioning, involving, or corresponding to former-California State resident, Ms. Charlotte Creason, deceased, from the years 1950 through 1960. Ms. Creason passed September 25, 1958 in Los Angeles, California at Cedar Sinai Hospital. Ms. Charlotte Creason, no middle name, was the mother of Ms. Shelly Leann Creason (née Karen Rochelle Rosoff), also deceased. Ms. Creason was also a California State resident until the time of her death, dated December 21, 2012.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.
							In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to produce criminal history records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .

PRA-2018-02582	Lannon (CH and CDCR Records)	Albert	12/31/2018	Arrest, conviction and prison records for David Castro, San Francisco, DOB [REDACTED]; shot and killed by law enforcement on April 10, 1979. San Quentin inmate approx. 1965. I am a freelance journalist who knew David Castro and am working on a story about his life and death. He has no family that I know of. He was on and off a heroin addict, burglar and car thief. Also a poet and Sixties activist.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking prison records from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, we must decline your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6263(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at <a href="http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html">http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html</a> To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, we must deny your request. The Public Records Unit is unable to grant requests for criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: <a href="http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security">http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security</a> .
PRA-2018-02583	Combs (2018 CCWs)	Brandon	12/31/2018	Please consider this a formal request for access to and copies of public records and information pertaining to matters of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, and thousands of law-abiding people and taxpayers throughout California. RECORDS REQUESTED: 1) For the data period of January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018, inclusive, or as of the data date December 31, 2018, a copy of the DOJ's CCW data / database or other electronic record-keeping, or an electronic export (i.e., xls, xlsx, or csv file type) thereof, that includes, but is not limited to, the following information: a. Issued CCW licenses (initial and renewal), pending applications for CCW licenses, applications for CCW licenses in process, revoked CCW licenses, any other status of CCW license or application therefore, and the following associated data: i. ORI number ii. Licensing authority / agency name iii. Licensing authority / agency county iv. Licensing authority / agency city v. CCW type (i.e., civilian, judicial, custodial officer, reserve officer, place of employment or business-based 90 day license, any other type reported) vi. Licensee / applicant residence state, county, city, and ZIP code vii. CCW Issuance (effective) date, as required to be reported to DOJ under Penal Code § 26225 viii. Expiration date (if known) 2) For the period of January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2018, a copy of all information reported to or collected by DOJ pursuant to Penal Code § 26225(b)-(c). GENERAL COMMENTS: In the past, DOJ has provided common spreadsheet data files containing exported data responsive to requests like this one. If DOJ provides us with a spreadsheet containing the information I requested in this request, I will consider the request satisfied and there will be no need to provide a copy of the database.	Fees Requested	Public Records	February 4, 2019 response: On January 10, 2019, we sent a letter extending our time to respond to January 24, 2019. Exemptions to Your Request As a preliminary matter, we deny your request in part, because some of the data you seek is exempt from disclosure. Specifically, we deny your request for the following data: • Licensee residence information. (See item (I)(a)(vii).) • "CCW issuance (effective) date, as reported to DOJ under Penal Code § 26225." (See item (I)(a)(vii), italics added.) • Information "collected by the DOJ pursuant to Penal Code section 26225, subdivisions (b)-(c)." (See item (2), italics added.) We will withhold licensee residence information to protect the privacy rights of the licensees. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (c); Cal. Const., art. I, § 1.) Given the number of residents in certain zip codes, listing all the residential data you sought could result in providing you data that is not sufficiently de-identified with respect to the licensees covered by the report. Additionally, to the extent the Department has this data, it has been obtained as part of its responsibilities under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(i), to keep information to "assist in the investigation of crime, the prosecution of [specified] civil actions by city attorneys ... and the arrest and prosecution of criminals." (Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(2), limits the disclosure of the information collected under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(i), by specifying to whom the Department can provide that information. The information can be provided "upon proper application therefor ... to the officers referred to in [Penal Code section] 11105." Members of the public making California Public Records Act requests are not identified on the list of officers in Penal Code section 11105. On this basis, we deny your request in item (I)(a)(vi) to obtain the residential addresses of CCW applicants and holders. Similarly, to the extent DOJ keeps records of information reported to it pursuant to Penal Code section 26225-be that information reflecting the issuance date of a license, or information submitted pursuant to subdivisions (b)-(c)-it does so as part of the Attorney General's duty under Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(i). Thus, this information is also exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11106, subdivision (a)(2). As such, we deny your request for information listed in items (I)(a)(vii) and (2) of your request.

SPOLIATION WARNING: This request requires the production of records / information that exist and/or are maintained in electronic form. Such records/ information are often subject to "retention" or "destruction" policies that require their automatic deletion at some point in time. If any records / information that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention or destruction policy, please prevent their deletion / destruction before you can locate, review and provide us with copies. For all records / information please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction / loss of these records / information during the pendency of this request. We request that you confirm that you have placed a "litigation hold" on all responsive records and information.	<p><b>PREFERRED DELIVERY METHOD:</b> Please provide all records and information responsive to this request via e-mail attachment(s); if the files are too large to e-mail, please let us know and we will make arrangements for an alternative means of transmitting the responsive records and information.</p> <p><b>COMMUNICATIONS ABOUT THIS REQUEST:</b> We acknowledge the following notice on this Web page: "Submitting this form electronically does not provide the Public Records Coordinator with your contact information. In order for us to forward our response to your request, please provide some form of contact information (address, phone number, or e-mail)." Please e-mail us at research@calgunsfoundation.org&lt;mailto:research@calgunsfoundation.org&gt; if you have any questions, wish to communicate about this request, or to provide us with the requested information and records.</p> <p>Thank you for your prompt consideration and fulfillment of this records request.</p>	cc: PublicRecords@doj.ca.gov<mailto:PublicRecords@doj.ca.gov> (via e-mail); Robert.Wilson@doj.ca.gov<mailto:Robert.Wilson@doj.ca.gov> (via e-mail)	Completed	Erin Nickless
PRA-2018-02584	Evangelina	12/31/2018	<p>I would like all public information available for KEVIN LEE FULLE, dob [REDACTED]. I need all information possible that could be relevant for a pending Child Support Order. He is the father of my child and is evading parental responsibility.</p>	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish</p>

PRA-2018-02385	Blaylock	Christopher	12/31/2018	<p>1. All correspondence from the Attorney General's office or AG's office staff concerning Senate Bill 10 and bail reform sent or received by the governor or anyone in the governors office including but not limited to any letters concerning signing or veto of Senate Bill 10.</p> <p>2. All correspondence from the Attorney General's office or AG's Office staff concerning Senate Bill 10 and bail reform sent or received by any member of the California legislature or California legislature's staff including but not limited to any letters concerning signing or veto of Senate Bill 10.</p> <p>3. All correspondence concerning Senate Bill 10 and bail reform sent or received by the governor or anyone in the governors office including but not limited to any letters concerning signing or veto of Senate Bill 10.</p>	Completed	<p>Lara Haddad</p> <p>January 25, 2019 response: On January 10, 2019, we extended the time to respond to your request to January 24, 2019. (Gov. Code § 6253, subd. (c).) Below, please find our response in full.</p> <p>Responses to Requests</p> <p>Response to Request No. 1</p> <p>Our office has determined that the request seeks disclosable public records in our possession, specifically, correspondence between the Attorney General's office and the Governor's office concerning Senate Bill 10 and bail reform. Enclosed are those documents that are responsive to this request.</p> <p>Response to Request No. 2</p> <p>We have no documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>Response to Request No. 3</p> <p>To the extent that there are any responsive documents in the possession of the Attorney General's office sent by the Governor or from the Governor's office, they have been provided in our response to Request No. 1, above.</p> <p>Although your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, Request No. 3 seeks records from another department or office – namely, correspondence received by and sent from the Governor's office. Absent a request for representation by another constitutional officer or agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments or offices. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, department or office, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>	<p>On January 10, 2019, Lara Haddad sent an extension letter.</p>
PRA-2018-02386	Sinyangwe (MuckRock)	Samuel	12/31/2018	-statistics showing the total number of arrests made in 2016 and 2017 for each law enforcement agency within the state, disaggregated by year, race, ethnicity, agency, specific offense type/charge, whether the offense was a misdemeanor or felony, and disposition. Note that this is different from the data displayed on the OpenJustice website, which only includes these statistics at the county-level. My request is for statistics disaggregated for each municipal, county and state law enforcement agency within the state.	Assigned	Jaimie Tackett	<p>On January 10, 2019, Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter.</p>

PRA-2018-02587	Papillon Ryan	12/31/2018	Arrest records / mugshot photos	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (Department) is unable to comply with your request. The Department maintains the Cal-Photo network and application, which provides law enforcement and criminal justice public agencies access to mugshot images maintained by California's law enforcement agencies, as well as a connection to the photographs and data maintained by the California Department of Motor Vehicles. Pursuant to the Cal-Photo Policies, Practices, and Procedures, information accessed via Cal-Photo is confidential and for official use only by authorized law enforcement personnel and the release of Cal-Photo information for nonlaw enforcement purposes is prohibited. This is due to the fact that Cal-Photo image records are a part of the California state summary criminal history records maintained by the Department, which are not public records.
PRA-2018-02588	Combs (DOJ form BOF 4012)	Brandon 018	12/31/2018	Completed	Public Records	<p>Enclosed are copies of the records responsive to items number 1 and 2 that we have identified after checking with knowledgeable persons and searching logical places.</p> <p>As to your request that we have placed a litigation hold on responsive records, please be advised that the Department, under its ordinary course of business, places litigation holds as it deems it necessary to preserve records and information in pending or anticipated litigation.</p> <p>An extension letter was sent January 10, 2019 by PRC.</p> <p>BACKGROUND: Cal. Penal Code § 26175(a)(1): "Applications for licenses and applications for amendments to licenses under this article shall be uniform throughout the state, upon forms to be prescribed by the Attorney General." Cal. Penal Code § 26175(a)(2): "The Attorney General shall convene a committee composed of one representative of the California State Sheriffs' Association, one representative of the California Police Chiefs Association, and one representative of the Department of Justice to review, and, as deemed appropriate, revise the standard application form for licenses. The committee shall meet for this purpose if two of the committee's members deem that necessary." DOJ form BOF 4012 is the "California Department of Justice Bureau of Firearms Standard Initial and Renewal Application for License to Carry a Concealed Weapon". DOJ form BOF 4012 appears to have been revised in 2012. RECORDS REQUESTED: 1) All public records about DOJ form BOF 4012, including but not limited to revision(s) or changes included in the version marked "Rev. 11/2012", and the basis for those revision(s). 2) All public records about the "committee" described in in Penal Code § 26175(a)(2) [that] "the] Attorney General shall convene" for various purposes, including but not limited to the duty to "review, and, as deemed appropriate, revise the standard application form for licenses" described in Penal Code § 26175(a)(1). This request requires the production of records / information that exist and/or are maintained in electronic form. Such records / information are often subject to "retention" or "destruction" policies that require their automatic deletion at some point in time. If any records / information that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention or destruction policy, please prevent their deletion / destruction before you can locate, review and provide us with copies. For all records / information please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction / loss of these records / information during the pendency of this request. We request that you confirm that you have placed a "litigation hold" on all responsive records and information. Please provide all records and information responsive to this request via e-mail attachment(s); if the files are too large to e-mail, please let us know and we will make arrangements for an alternative means of transmitting the responsive records and information.</p>

			We acknowledge the following notice on this Web page: "Submitting this form electronically does not provide the Public Records Coordinator with your contact information. In order for us to forward our response to your request, please provide some form of contact information (address, phone number, or e-mail)."			
PRA-2018-02589	Welsh (MuckRock) (PRA Logs)	Ben	12/31/2018 A copy of the Attorney General's office's California Public Records Act request logs for calendar year 2018. These logs should include a request date, description of records requested, requester organization (if applicable) and current status of the requests.	Rolling Production	Erin Nickless	02/01/19 response: On January 10, 2019, our office provided our Public Records Act request logs through July 31, 2018. Attached please find our Public Records Act request logs from August 1, 2018 through October 31, 2018. Please note that we have redacted personal information such as home addresses, date of birth, and other identifying information for privacy purposes. We are in the process of generating logs for November 1, 2018, through the date of your request. At this point, we anticipate that this process will take a minimum of 12 weeks to complete. Accordingly, we will produce the November and December logs on a rolling basis, as they are completed. 01/10/19 response #1: We are attaching .pdf copies of our logs requested between the dates January 1, 2018 and April 30, 2018. We will be sending additional logs in a second e-mail. 01/10/19 response #2: We are attaching .pdf copies of our logs requested between the dates of May 1, 2018 through July 31, 2018. We sent the logs from January 1, 2018 through April 30, 2018 in our first e-mail. We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process.
PRA-2018-02590	Welsh (MuckRock) (enterprise systems)	Ben	12/31/2018 A copy of the Attorney General's office's California Public Records Act request logs for calendar year 2018. These logs should include a request date, description of records requested, requester organization (if applicable) and current status of the requests.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places, and consulted knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, please provide that to us.
PRA-2018-02595	Fennessy (Divorce)	Debra	12/31/2018 Divorce decree for Debra Lee Fennessy and William C. Fennessy. I think it was in 1984. I have misplaced my copy and need a replacement in order to file for Social Security benefits.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, and/or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: <a href="https://www.cdpb.ca.gov/Programs/CHSL/Programs/CHSL-Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx">https://www.cdpb.ca.gov/Programs/CHSL/Programs/CHSL-Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx</a> .